

SALINE INTRUSION AND 2020 GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS UPDATE, OXNARD AND PLEASANT VALLEY BASINS



United Water Conservation District Open-File Report 2021-03 November 2021

SALINE INTRUSION AND 2020 GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS UPDATE, OXNARD AND PLEASANT VALLEY BASINS

United Water Conservation District Open-File Report 2021-03 November 2021

THIS REPORT IS PRELIMINARY AND IS SUBJECT TO MODIFICATION BASED UPON FUTURE ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION

PREPARED BY

GROUNDWATER DEPARTMENT

UNITED WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICT

Preferred Citation:

United Water Conservation District, 2021, Saline Intrusion and 2020 Groundwater Conditions Update, Oxnard and Pleasant Valley basins, United Water Conservation District Open-File Report 2021-03

Principal Authors: Kathleen Kuepper, PG and Dan Detmer, PG, CHG

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	INTR	RODUCTION 1
1	.1 F	HISTORY OF THE DISTRICT 1
1	.2 0	GOALS OF THE DISTRICT
1	.3 Т	THE SUSTAINABLE GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT ACT
1	.4 0	GROUNDWATER FLOW MODELS 6
2	HYD	ROGEOLOGY7
2	2.1 0	GEOLOGIC SETTING
2	2.2 A	AQUIFERS
	2.2.1	PERCHED/SEMI-PERCHED8
	2.2.2	UPPER AQUIFER SYSTEM9
	2.2.3	LOWER AQUIFER SYSTEM
2	2.3 0	GROUNDWATER BASINS 10
	2.3.1	Oxnard Forebay 11
	2.3.2	OXNARD BASIN 11
	2.3.3	PLEASANT VALLEY BASIN 12
	2.3.4	MOUND BASIN 14
	2.3.5	CONNECTIVITY AMONG GROUNDWATER BASINS15
3	OVE	RDRAFT AND SALINE INTRUSION
3	5.1 F	HISTORY OF OVERDRAFT 17
3	5.2 F	PREVIOUS STUDIES 18
3	3.3 S	SOURCES OF SALINE WATER 21
4	REC	ENT BASIN CONDITIONS 23
4	.1 F	RECHARGE AND DISCHARGE 23
	4.1.1	ARTIFICIAL RECHARGE
	4.1.2	WATER DELIVERIES
	4.1.3	GROUNDWATER EXTRACTION 25
	4.1.4	GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE

	4.2	GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS	26
	4.2.	1 UAS GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS, SPRING AND FALL 2020	28
	4.2.	2 LAS GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS, SPRING AND FALL 2020	29
	4.3	GROUNDWATER QUALITY	30
	4.3.	1 CHLORIDE CONCENTRATIONS IN THE OXNARD BASIN	30
	4.3.	2 CHLORIDE CONDITIONS IN THE PLEASANT VALLEY BASIN	35
5	DIS	CUSSION	39
ļ	5.1	OVERDRAFT CONDITIONS	39
ļ	5.2	SALINE INTRUSION	40
ļ	5.3	MIGRATION AND MONITORING OF SALINE WATER	42
6	СО	NCLUSIONS	44
7	RE	FERENCES	46

LIST OF FIGURES

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A, GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1.1. Groundwater basins, District boundary, and major recharge and conveyance facilities.
- Figure 1.4.1. Preliminary model results for 2015 chloride concentration contours simulated for the Oxnard aquifer.
- Figure 1.4.2. Preliminary model results for 2015 chloride concentration contours simulated for the Mugu aquifer.
- Figure 2.1.1. Geology of the Oxnard and Pleasant Valley basins and surrounding area.
- Figure 2.1.2. Schematic of Upper and Lower Aquifer Systems with model layers.
- Figure 2.1.3. Cross Section Oxnard Coastal.
- Figure 2.1.4. Cross Section Forebay to Oxnard Coast.
- Figure 2.1.5. Cross Section Forebay to Mugu.
- Figure 2.1.6. Geology of the Oxnard Subbasin (source: FCGMA, 2019).
- Figure 2.1.7. Cross Section A-A' (source FCGMA, 2019).
- Figure 2.1.8. Cross Section B-B' (source FCGMA, 2019).
- Figure 3.1.1. Chloride concentrations in water from wells in the Upper Aquifer System in the Oxnard Plain, 1955-89.
- Figure 4.1.1. Reported Upper Aquifer System groundwater extraction from coastal basins, 2020.
- Figure 4.1.2. Reported Lower Aquifer System groundwater extraction from coastal basins, 2020.
- Figure 4.2.1. Groundwater elevation time series, selected Forebay basin wells.
- Figure 4.2.2. Groundwater elevation time series, selected Upper Aquifer System wells, Oxnard basin.
- Figure 4.2.3. Groundwater elevation time series, selected Lower Aquifer System wells, Oxnard basin.
- Figure 4.2.4. Groundwater elevation time series, selected Lower Aquifer System wells, Pleasant Valley basin.
- Figure 4.2.5. Spring 2020 groundwater elevations, Upper Aquifer System wells.
- Figure 4.2.6. Spring 2012 groundwater elevations, Upper Aquifer System wells.
- Figure 4.2.7. Fall 2020 groundwater elevations, Upper Aquifer System wells.

Page | v

- Figure 4.2.8. Spring 2020 groundwater elevations, Lower Aquifer System wells.
- Figure 4.2.9. Fall 2020 groundwater elevations, Lower Aquifer System wells.
- Figure 4.3.1. Semi-perched aquifer maximum-recorded chloride concentrations, coastal monitoring wells, 2020.
- Figure 4.3.2. Chloride and Electrical Conductivity time series plots, Semi-perched aquifer monitoring wells.
- Figure 4.3.3. Chloride and Electrical Conductivity time series plots, North West Oxnard Plain and Mound basin monitoring wells.
- Figure 4.3.4. Oxnard aquifer maximum-recorded chloride concentrations, coastal monitoring wells, 2020.
- Figure 4.3.5. Chloride and Electrical Conductivity time series plots, Oxnard aquifer monitoring wells.
- Figure 4.3.6. Mugu aquifer maximum-recorded chloride concentrations, coastal monitoring wells, 2020.
- Figure 4.3.7. Chloride and Electrical Conductivity time series plots, Mugu aquifer monitoring wells.
- Figure 4.3.8. Hueneme aquifer maximum-recorded chloride concentrations, coastal monitoring wells, 2020.
- Figure 4.3.9. Chloride and Electrical Conductivity time series plots, Hueneme aquifer monitoring wells.
- Figure 4.3.10. Fox Canyon aquifer maximum-recorded chloride concentrations, coastal monitoring wells, 2020.
- Figure 4.3.11. Chloride and Electrical Conductivity time series plots, Fox Canyon aquifer monitoring wells.
- Figure 4.3.12. Grimes Canyon aquifer maximum-recorded chloride concentrations, coastal monitoring wells, 2020.
- Figure 4.3.13. Chloride and Electrical Conductivity time series plots, Grimes Canyon aquifer monitoring wells.
- Figure 4.3.14. Maximum-recorded chloride concentrations, coastal basin wells, 2020.
- Figure 4.3.15. Possible sources of high-chloride water to wells, Pleasant Valley basin.
- Figure 4.3.16. Flowmeter and depth-dependent chloride concentration data from well PVCWD #2; data 1980-2002.
- Figure 4.3.17. Chloride and Electrical Conductivity time series plots, PV1 well cluster and selected private wells, Pleasant Valley basin.

- Figure 4.3.18. Chloride and groundwater elevation time series, PV1 well cluster.
- Figure 4.3.19. Chloride and Electrical Conductivity time series plots, Pleasant Valley County Water District wells.
- Figure 4.3.20. Chloride and groundwater elevation time series, Pleasant Valley County Water District wells.

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A, GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS

Appendix A Groundwater elevation time series, coastal monitoring wells.

SALINE INTRUSION AND 2020 GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS UPDATE, OXNARD AND PLEASANT VALLEY BASINS

UWCD OPEN-FILE REPORT 2021-03

ABSTRACT

The Oxnard basin, located adjacent the Pacific Ocean in southern Ventura County, California, has a long history of groundwater overdraft and saline intrusion. Major investments in infrastructure for groundwater recharge and surface water distribution, State Water Project imports and regulatory programs to manage groundwater extraction have only been partly successful in mitigating groundwater overdraft in the Oxnard and Pleasant Valley basins. The aquifers of the Upper Aquifer System (UAS) are readily recharged by natural recharge mechanisms and United Water Conservation District's (United) artificial recharge activities in the Oxnard Forebay area, which rely on surface water from the large watershed of the Santa Clara River. Prolonged drought conditions since 2012 have caused greatly diminished flows in the Santa Clara River and other local water bodies, and recharge totals to the coastal groundwater basins have been meager. New regulatory restrictions for environmental flows have further curtailed United's surface water diversions and basin recharge activities. Although healthy groundwater conditions are sometimes achieved in the shallowest confined aguifer of the Oxnard basin following a series of wet years, water levels in many portions of the deeper confined aguifers of the Oxnard and Pleasant Valley basins remain well below sea level during both wet and dry climatic periods. In fall 2020 broad areas of the Oxnard basin recorded UAS groundwater elevations more than 10 feet below sea level. In the Pleasant Valley basin and in the eastern portion of the Oxnard basin, groundwater elevations in the aquifers of the Lower Aquifer System (LAS) commonly ranged from 50 to 100 feet below sea level. Water levels above sea level were only recorded in recharge areas in the northernmost portions of the basins in fall 2020. Groundwater elevations below sea level allow the intrusion of saline water into fresh-water aquifers by various mechanisms. The direct lateral intrusion of seawater occurs where aquifers are exposed to the sea in near-shore submarine canyons. Additionally, the compaction of aguitards as a result of water level declines can expel connate brines, and low-pressure conditions deep in the aguifer systems can promote the migration of brines along faults and brine upwelling from deeper formations. By these mechanisms, saline intrusion may degrade water quality well inland of the coastal areas where direct lateral seawater intrusion is known to occur. Regional pumping stresses also results in the vertical flow of both fresh and saline water between aquifers.

In the area surrounding Mugu Lagoon, a number of the monitoring wells in the UAS have recorded elevated chloride concentrations since they were installed in 1990. Wells near Port Hueneme show evidence of a new episode of seawater advancing into the basin following the onset of drought conditions in 2012. Other UAS wells located southeast of Port Hueneme have elevated chloride from past intrusion events, as prevailing groundwater flow directions tend to sweep saline water from the Port Hueneme area down the coast towards Mugu Lagoon during periods having higher water levels.

Saline intrusion in the aquifers of the LAS near Port Hueneme appears to impact a limited area to date, but concentrations in one Hueneme aquifer (LAS) well near Hueneme Canyon records chloride at nearly 10,000 mg/l. Saline impacts in the LAS are more extensive and severe in the area surrounding Mugu Lagoon, with much of the saline water interpreted to be derived from brines rather than seawater. The network of coastal monitoring wells is somewhat limited making it difficult to determine with certainty the extent of saline impacts in the various confined aquifers of the southern Oxnard Plain. To help improve understanding of groundwater flow and solute transport, United has developed a density-dependent solute transport model to simulate the groundwater flow processes of freshwater and saline water that occur near the coast. In the Pleasant Valley basin, a number of deep production wells have chloride concentrations greater than those of similarly-constructed wells in the Oxnard basin. Water quality samples collected from within the screened portions of LAS production wells in the Pleasant Valley basin show that most chloride enters from the deepest portions of the well. Additional water supply projects or pumping reductions are required to address the long-term overdraft conditions and saline intrusion problems in these highly-utilized coastal basin.

1 INTRODUCTION

United Water Conservation District (United, or the District) is a public agency that encompasses about 214,000 acres of southern Ventura County. The District includes the downstream (Ventura County) portion of the valley of the Santa Clara River and much of the Oxnard coastal plain. The District serves as a steward for managing the surface water and groundwater resources within all or part of seven groundwater sub-basins, as defined by DWR's Bulletin 118 (Figure 1.1). It is governed by a seven-person board of directors elected by region, and receives revenue from property taxes, pump charges, recreation fees, and water delivery charges. The developed areas of the District are a mix of agriculture and urban areas, with prime agricultural land supporting high-value crops such as strawberries, avocados, row crops, lemons, and flowers. Approximately 400,000 people live within the District, including those in the cities of Oxnard, Port Hueneme, Santa Paula, Fillmore and the eastern portions of Ventura.

The District is authorized under the California Water Code to conduct water resource investigations, acquire water rights, build facilities to store and recharge water, construct wells and pipelines for water deliveries, commence actions involving water rights and water use, prevent interference with or diminution of stream/river flows and their associated natural subterranean supply of water, and to acquire and operate recreational facilities (California Water Code, section 74500 et al.).

This report includes general information about the local geologic setting, history of overdraft and saline intrusion in the Oxnard and Pleasant Valley basins and detailed data on recent coastal basin conditions, including discussion regarding groundwater levels and the quality of the groundwater. This report updates information presented in the previous report detailing saline intrusion and groundwater conditions in 2015 (UWCD, 2016).

1.1 HISTORY OF THE DISTRICT

The original founding organization for United Water Conservation District was called the Santa Clara River Protective Association. It was formed in 1925 to protect the runoff of the Santa Clara River from being appropriated and exported outside the watershed. The Santa Clara Water Conservation District (Santa Clara WCD) was formed in 1927 to further the goals of the Association by protecting water rights and conserving the waters of the Santa Clara River and its tributaries. The Santa Clara WCD began a systematic program of groundwater recharge in 1928, primarily through constructing a diversion structure and spreading grounds along the Santa Clara River near the community of Saticoy.

High chloride levels were first detected in groundwater beneath the Oxnard Plain in the vicinity of the Hueneme and Mugu submarine canyons in the early 1930s (DWR, 1965). As the area impacted by saline intrusion expanded in the Oxnard basin in the late 1940s, it was clear that the Santa Clara WCD did not have the financial ability to raise money to construct the facilities necessary to combat the problem. With the help of the City of Oxnard, a new district was organized in 1950 under the

Water Conservation Act of 1931. The new district was called "United Water Conservation District" for its unification of urban and agricultural concerns. United then constructed a number of water conservation projects, including:

- Santa Felicia Dam (1955) to capture and store winter runoff on Piru Creek to release in controlled amounts during the dry season. The 200-foot-high dam originally created storage for 100,000 acre-feet in Lake Piru, but the accumulation of sediment behind the dam has now reduced the reservoir capacity to approximately 82,000 acre-feet. The reservoir is downstream from the State Water Project, enabling the District to receive State Water Project water by release down middle Piru Creek without the construction of expensive conveyance facilities.
- Spreading grounds at El Rio and a pipeline to convey water diverted from the Santa Clara River to this facility.
- Wells surrounding the El Rio spreading basins to produce water for the Oxnard-Hueneme (O-H) pipeline (1954) that supplies drinking water to the cities of Oxnard and Port Hueneme, several small mutual water districts, and two Navy bases at the coast (Naval Base Ventura County Point Mugu and Port Hueneme). The O-H system supplies groundwater from the Oxnard Forebay (the recharge area for the Oxnard basin), rather than pumping coastal wells that could accelerate seawater intrusion in the populated coastal areas of the Oxnard Plain.
- A pipeline to Pleasant Valley (1958) delivering surface water diverted from the Santa Clara River to offset groundwater pumping for crop irrigation.

United's initial major investments in water supply infrastructure came near the end of an extended dry period which spanned the years 1945-1965. Water levels on the Oxnard Plain, however, remained below sea level in the early 1960s and saline water continued to intrude inland in coastal areas. Conditions improved following some wet winters in the late 1960s, but by the mid-1970s a new significant episode of seawater intrusion was degrading water quality in the Oxnard basin. The State Water Resources Control Board was alarmed by the chronic overdraft of the coastal basins in Ventura County, threatened adjudication, and urged that local agencies take necessary actions to prevent further irreparable harm to the basins (SWRCB, 1979).

The State's threat of adjudication was taken seriously. The necessity to control groundwater extraction was recognized, and the formation of the Fox Canyon Groundwater Management Agency (FCGMA) was authorized by the California legislature in 1982. The FCGMA formed in 1983, conducted studies to determine the safe yield of its basins and initiated programs to reduce pumping. United partnered with the County of Ventura to construct the Pumping Trough Pipeline (completed in 1986) to convey water diverted from the Santa Clara River to agricultural pumpers on the Oxnard Plain, thus reducing groundwater pumping in a critically-overdrafted area. With support from the State Water Resources Control Board, the California Department of Water Resources (DWR), and the County of Ventura, and after a lengthy but successful effort to secure a significant loan from the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, construction of the Freeman Diversion project was initiated in 1988 and completed in 1991. The Freeman Diversion replaced the temporary earthen diversion dikes Page | 2

maintained by United in the Santa Clara River near Saticoy with a permanent concrete structure. This new diversion structure included a fish ladder and allowed for the diversion of storm flows throughout the winter and spring, whereas the earlier earthen diversion dikes were eroded away by large winter storms and could not be reconstructed until flow subsided. Another significant benefit of the Freeman Diversion was stabilization of the elevation of the riverbed after years of channel downcutting caused by gravel mining in the floodplain of the river, allowing diversion from a fixed elevation and the distribution of water by gravity flow to United's recharge facilities and pipelines.

Following completion of the Freeman Diversion, United constructed additional facilities to expand and optimize recharge operations in the Oxnard Forebay. These newer facilities include:

- Noble recharge basins (1995), converted from existing gravel mining pits, located near the Saticoy Spreading Grounds.
- Saticoy Well Field (2004), allowing for the extraction of mounded groundwater near the Saticoy Spreading Grounds.
- Ferro and Rose basins, purchased in 2010; the ability to convey surface water to the Rose basin was established in fall 2015, but there are not yet facilities in place to deliver water to the Ferro basin.

1.2 GOALS OF THE DISTRICT

The District's activities and goals are guided by its mission statement,

"United Water Conservation District shall manage, protect, conserve, and enhance the water resources of the Santa Clara River, its tributaries and associated aquifers, in the most cost-effective and environmentally balanced manner." In order to accomplish this mission, United follows these guiding principles:

- Construct, operate, and maintain facilities needed now and in the future to put local and imported water resources to optimum beneficial use.
- Deliver safe and reliable drinking water that meets current and future health standards to cities and urban areas.
- Provide an adequate and economical water supply to support a viable and productive agricultural sector.
- Fight overdraft and seawater intrusion and enhance the water quality of the aquifers through the use of District programs.
- Monitor water conditions to detect and guard against problems and to report those conditions to the public.

- Seek opportunities to develop cooperative programs with other agencies in order to maximize use of District resources and promote mutually beneficial projects.
- Develop, construct, and operate high-quality public recreational facilities that are financially selfsupporting.
- Balance District operations with environmental needs to maximize use of the region's water resources.
- Conduct District affairs in a business-like manner that promotes safe investment policy, sound financial audits and the utmost in professional and financial integrity.

United's Board of Directors also uses Resolutions of the Board to set or clarify district policies on various subjects. In March 2014, United's Board of Directors adopted Resolution 2014-01, recognizing the existence of drought conditions within the District and setting priorities for the distribution of surface water diverted from the Santa Clara River. The following order of priorities was adopted for the use of surface water diverted at the Freeman Diversion:

- Dilute nitrate in groundwater surrounding the O-H wells at the EI Rio Spreading Grounds so that delivered water meets or exceeds drinking water standards.
- Delivery of the minimum contractual allotment of 12.22% of the diverted water to the Pleasant Valley pipeline.
- Distribute water to the Saticoy and El Rio Spreading Grounds to recharge the Oxnard Forebay, which increases hydrostatic pressure in the aquifers of the surrounding coastal basins to fight seawater intrusion.
- Deliver surface water to the Pumping Trough Pipeline.
- Deliver any remaining available water to the Pleasant Valley pipeline.

The District's mission statement and Board Resolution 2014-01 reflect United's commitment to promoting the sustainability of the basins and aquifers throughout the District for both urban and agricultural users, with consideration of environmental water needs as well. United continues to operate under the Resolution as drought conditions continue to affect the region.

1.3 THE SUSTAINABLE GROUNDWATER MANAGEMENT ACT

On January 1, 2015 California legislation (AB 1739, SB 1168 and SB 1319) was enacted and requires that every groundwater basin in California to be managed sustainably by the year 2042. These three sustainability bills are collectively known as the Sustainable Groundwater Management Act (SGMA). Under the legislation, local Groundwater Sustainability Agencies (GSAs) will be responsible for writing and implementing Groundwater Sustainability Plans (GSPs) for all significant groundwater basins in

the state. Basins considered to be subject to critical overdraft must be managed to achieve sustainable conditions by the year 2040, and other high and medium-priority basins must be managed sustainably by 2042.

Groundwater basins that have gone through a court adjudication process (such as the Santa Paula basin) are exempt from a number of the SGMA requirements, but also have new requirements for reporting basin conditions to the DWR. The seven groundwater basins within United's district boundaries are classified either medium or high priority basins by DWR. In addition, the Oxnard Plain and Pleasant Valley basins are designated as "subject to critical overdraft" by DWR.

The Fox Canyon Groundwater Management Agency (FCGMA) is charged with the preservation and management of groundwater resources within the areas or lands overlying the Fox Canyon aquifer (which includes the Oxnard and Pleasant Valley basins). In 2015, the FCGMA accepted the authority to be the GSA for these areas. In January 2020, the FCGMA submitted draft GSPs for the Oxnard and Pleasant Valley basins to DWR for review and approval (FCGMA, 2019). In November 2021 DWR notified FCGMA that the GSPs for the Oxnard and Pleasant Valley basins had been approved.

SGMA requires that GSPs include plans to achieve sustainable groundwater management to avoid undesirable results, such as chronic depletion of groundwater, reduction of groundwater storage, water quality degradation, seawater intrusion, surface water depletions, or land subsidence. GSPs must also include long-term planning goals and measurable objectives with interim milestones in increments of five years that are designed to achieve the basin's sustainability goals within twenty years of GSP implementation.

The GSP for the Oxnard basin states that seawater intrusion is the primary sustainability indicator in the Oxnard basin. As a result, the primary sustainability goal is to increase groundwater elevations to levels that would prevent the landward migration of the approximate 2015 saline water intrusion inland extent in both the UAS and LAS. The saline water impact area was considered the area with concentrations of chloride greater than 500 mg/l includes all sources of water high in chloride, including seawater as well as non-marine brines and connate water in fine-grained sediments. Combinations of projects and pumping reductions were considered to prevent future expansion of the saline water impact front.

Under SGMA, basin boundaries are based on DWR Bulletin 118 boundaries, however, a process was established that enabled local agencies to request that DWR modify those boundaries. DWR revised their Bulletin 118 groundwater basin boundaries during two separate rounds of modifications (2016 and 2018). For the Oxnard basin, the northeastern boundary shared with the Las Posas Valley basin was modified in 2016 to better align with the Wright Road fault and also respects property lines and groundwater sources (DWR, 2016). In 2018, the north-northwestern border of the Oxnard basin with Mound and Santa Paula basins were revised to align better with the Santa Paula adjudicated boundary and the FCGMA jurisdictional boundary (DWR, 2019).

1.4 GROUNDWATER FLOW MODELS

United has developed numerical groundwater flow models for the aquifers within United's service area. The development of the models occurred in two stages, starting in 2018 with the completion of the "Coastal Plain Model" in the Oxnard, Mound, Pleasant Valley, West Las Posas groundwater basins and the offshore areas for the period 1985-2015 (UWCD, 2018). The model was expanded in 2020 to include the groundwater basins of the Santa Clara River Valley, including the Santa Paula, Fillmore, and Piru basins (UWCD, 2021a). The domain of the "Regional Model" was discretized (subdivided) into finite-difference grid cells and layers such that basin-scale hydrogeologic features, boundaries, and flow patterns could simulate the aquifer-specific groundwater flow to support regional groundwater conservation and management. At present, the Regional Model model-grid spacing is a uniform 2,000 feet, divided into 13 layers of variable thickness. Following expansion and calibration of the flow model, it was updated to include the years 2016 through 2019 (UWCD, 2021b).

United uses the Regional Model as a planning tool to maximize the regional benefits of its conjunctive use operations and to forecast effects of water-supply projects operated by United and other local agencies. The Coastal Plain model was used in support of the development of the GSPs for the Oxnard, Pleasant Valley and (west) Las Posas Valley basin in cooperation with FCGMA and their consultant. The Regional Model was used to support the development of GSPs for the Fillmore and Piru Basins GSA, and the Mound Basin GSA. The groundwater flow models were used for groundwater planning and management activities in development of the GSPs, which required predictive simulations of potential future pumping, recharge, and land- and water-use scenarios in the study areas (UWCD, 2021c). For the Oxnard Plain, model scenarios were used specifically to evaluate the effectiveness of potential groundwater management strategies and regulatory policies to eliminate overdraft and saline intrusion in the coastal areas of the Oxnard and Mound basins.

United is pursuing development of a brackish groundwater extraction and treatment facility in the southern Oxnard basin, near Mugu Lagoon. To further understand the seawater intrusion occurring in the Mugu area, and the potential for the vertical movement of groundwater and the impact of the proposed project, the Coastal Plain Model has recently been converted to MODFLOW-USG-Transport, allowing simulation of density-dependent solute transport (chloride) that more precisely simulates the groundwater flow processes of freshwater and seawater that occur near the coast (UWCD, 2021d). The solute transport model was calibrated and preliminary model results for 2015 chloride concentration contours as simulated for the Oxnard and Mugu aquifers are shown on Figures 1.4.1 and 1.4.2. The MODFLOW-USG-Transport version of the Coastal Plain Model will be an important tool to facilitate greater understanding and better management of seawater intrusion in the coastal basins.

2 HYDROGEOLOGY

Thick sedimentary deposits exist within the boundaries of the District. The ancestral Santa Clara River deposited vast quantities of alluvial fill in structural depressions, forming the upper sections of the basin fill. Precipitation within the 1,626-square mile watershed of the Santa Clara River generates significant stream flow in wet and average years; this flow serves as a major source of recharge to the groundwater basins that underlie the floodplain of the river. Unconfined shallow aquifer conditions generally exist beneath the channel of the Santa Clara River in the valley of the Santa Clara River, and to within about five miles from the coast on the Oxnard coastal plain (the Oxnard Forebay area), allowing opportunities for natural and artificial recharge along the reaches of this large river system before a regional perched aquifer underlies the river near the coast.

2.1 GEOLOGIC SETTING

The District is located within the Transverse Ranges geomorphic province of California, in which the mountain ranges and basins are oriented east-west rather than the northeast-southwest trend common to many mountain ranges in California. Major structural features of this area reflect a tectonic regime dominated by compression. Active thrust faults border the basins of the Santa Clara River valley, causing rapid uplift of the adjacent mountains, and the formation of deep basins within regional synclinal features located between the areas of uplift (Figure 2.1.1). The basins are filled with thick accumulations of Tertiary and Quaternary sediments that were deposited in both marine and terrestrial settings. The groundwater basins underlying the Oxnard Plain (Figure 2.1.1) are filled with sediments deposited on a wide delta complex that formed at the terminus of the Santa Clara River. Figure 2.1.2 is a generalized schematic of the subsurface layering of geologic units, their age, hydrostratigraphy and related aquifer system. The figure also shows general depths in feet and model layers.

The eastern portion of the Oxnard coastal plain is commonly known as the Pleasant Valley basin, where younger sediment is derived largely from the Calleguas Creek watershed. These sediments tend to be relatively fine-grained as the Calleguas Creek watershed is smaller and less mountainous than the Santa Clara River watershed to the north. The Pleasant Valley basin is bounded on the east by the Santa Monica Mountains. The Mound basin bounds the Oxnard basin to the north, and is characterized by its deep synclinal structure and thick shallow clay deposits. Figure 2.1.1 shows the surface geology of the Oxnard plain and geologic cross sections are provided in Figures 2.1.3, 2.1.4 Figures 2.1.6, 2.1.7 and 2.1.8 are the surface geology of the Oxnard plain and cross and 2.1.5. sections published in the Oxnard basin GSP (FCGMA, 2019). Figures 2.1.7 and 2.1.8 were based on cross sections featured in the 1975 study by Mukae and Turner (Mukae and Turner, 1975). The aguifers depicted in cross section on Figures 2.1.7 and 2.1.8 may provide a better illustration of the interbedded nature of the hydrostratigraphic units. The cross sections shown on Figure 2.1.3, 2.1.4, and 2.1.5 depict the incorporation of additional borehole data and a more detailed mapping of the individual aquifers of the Oxnard basin (as used in United's groundwater flow models), but do not illustrate the interbedded and heterogeneous nature of the aquifers.

Page | 7

2.2 AQUIFERS

Most areas of the coastal groundwater basins within United's district boundaries have a shallow perched aquifer that is not utilized for groundwater production. The production aquifers of the coastal basins can be classified as being part of either the regional Upper Aquifer System (UAS) or the Lower Aquifer System (LAS) (Mukae and Turner, 1975). The UAS consists of the Oxnard and Mugu aquifers. The LAS consists of the Hueneme, Fox Canyon and Grimes Canyon aquifers. The aquifers consist of gravel and sand deposited along the ancestral Santa Clara River, alluvial fan deposits along the flanks of the mountains, a coastal plain/delta complex at the terminus of the Santa Clara River, and marine deposits from transgressional seas. The aquifers are recharged by the natural infiltration of stream flow (primarily from the Santa Clara River in the Oxnard Forebay area, but also along Arroyo Las Posas in the northern portion of the Pleasant Valley basin), artificial recharge of diverted stream flow, mountain-front recharge along the exterior boundaries of the basins, direct infiltration of precipitation on the valley floors of the basins and on bedrock outcrops in adjacent mountain fronts, the percolation of reclaimed water from septic systems and sanitary sewers, and irrigation return flow in agricultural areas.

Figure 2.1.2 summarizes the subsurface sequence of the UAS and LAS, showing general depths in feet. However, United's more recent work with an extensive collection of geophysical logs suggest that some of the aquifers are actually deeper than originally mapped and indicated on the schematic. Also note that many of the clay layers (aquitards) shown in the UAS are discontinuous in some places. This is illustrated in cross sections shown in Figures 2.1.3, 2.1.4, 2.1.5, 2.1.7 and 2.1.8.

2.2.1 PERCHED/SEMI-PERCHED

On the Oxnard Plain, the uppermost silt and clay deposits that confine the Oxnard aquifer are overlain by silt and sand layers of the unconfined "Semi-perched aquifer," which generally contains poor quality water. This zone extends from the surface to depths as great as about 100 ft. The confining clay that underlies the Semi-perched aquifer and confines the deeper Oxnard aquifer is sometimes referred to as the "clay cap" and these fine-grained deposits with low hydraulic conductivity generally protect the underlying production aquifers from contamination that may result from certain land use activities.

Deep percolation of rainfall and irrigation return flows are the major components of recharge to the Semi-perched aquifer. Although difficult to quantify, there is likely some vertical movement of water between the shallow perched water and the underlying confined aquifer units. This limited and variable connection with the underlying Oxnard aquifer resulted in the favored term of Semi-perched aquifer for this perched water. Subsurface drainage systems are common in many agricultural areas, which drain shallow groundwater that would otherwise waterlog plant roots. These "tile drains" are commonly spaced every 100 feet and flow to sumps at collection points where water is pumped out to open ditches. Groundwater elevations in the Semi-perched aquifer are effectively regulated by these engineered drainage systems, and little water level variability is observed in this shallow aquifer. Depth to water is commonly less than about 10 feet. There is some surface water/groundwater

Page | 8

exchange between the Semi-perched aquifer and surface water bodies such as the Santa Clara River, but much of the discharge from this shallow aquifer is to the ocean. It is very uncommon for the Semi-perched aquifer to be used for water supply on the Oxnard Plain.

2.2.2 UPPER AQUIFER SYSTEM

The Upper Aquifer System consists of the Oxnard and Mugu aquifers. These aquifers are characterized by relatively young alluvium (Oxnard aquifer) of Holocene age and older alluvium (Mugu aquifer) of late Pleistocene age. Both these aquifers are relatively flat-lying, and the Oxnard aquifer rests unconformably on the Mugu aquifer. A clay layer commonly occurs between the two aquifers, but in some areas, there is no aquitard separating these two aquifer units. Some researchers apply the Oxnard and Mugu aquifer nomenclature to time-equivalent alluvial deposits in the Santa Clara River valley, but these deposits are more commonly termed Recent Alluvium and Older Alluvium, respectively, in the upstream groundwater basins of the Santa Clara River Valley (Mann, 1959).

2.2.2.1 OXNARD AQUIFER

The Oxnard aquifer generally consists of river, floodplain, alluvial fan, beach and lagoonal deposits (Turner, 1975). The Oxnard aquifer is present throughout the Oxnard basin, and characterized primarily by coarse-grained (high-energy) river deposits. Historically, the Oxnard aquifer was the primary aquifer used for groundwater supply on the Oxnard coastal plain. This highly permeable assemblage of sand and gravel is generally found at depths ranging from approximately 80 to 300 feet below land surface, and is commonly between 100 and 240 feet thick. The Oxnard aquifer has suffered more water quality impacts from both direct lateral seawater intrusion and the downward migration of poor quality (nitrate, TDS, etc.) near-surface groundwater than the deeper aquifers of the Oxnard Plain. Seawater intrusion on the Oxnard Plain was first recognized in the Oxnard aquifer.

2.2.2.2 MUGU AQUIFER

The Mugu aquifer generally consists of river, floodplain, alluvial fan, terrace, marine terrace, lagoonal and beach deposits. The Mugu aquifer rests unconformably on the LAS. Coarse-grained basal conglomerates occur in many areas (Turner, 1975, Hanson et al, 2003). The Mugu aquifer generally occurs at depths of about 255 to 500 feet below land surface, but depths greater than 400 feet are relatively uncommon.

2.2.3 LOWER AQUIFER SYSTEM

The Lower Aquifer System consists of the Hueneme, Fox Canyon, and Grimes Canyon aquifers (Figure 2.2.1). These aquifers occur within the San Pedro and Santa Barbara Formations of Pliocene to Pleistocene age (Mukae and Turner, 1975).

In the groundwater basins underlying the Oxnard coastal plain, the aquifers of the LAS may be isolated from each other vertically by low-permeability units and horizontally by regional fault systems. The LAS is folded and tilted in many areas, and has been eroded along its upper contact with the UAS. In many areas an aquitard exists between the Mugu and Hueneme aquifers, which constrains vertical flow between the UAS and the LAS.

2.2.3.1 HUENEME AQUIFER

The Hueneme aquifer underlies much of the Oxnard coastal plain, but is generally absent south of Hueneme Road where this unit was uplifted and subsequently eroded (Turner, 1975). Figure 2.1.3 shows a geologic cross section illustrating the Hueneme aquifer absent in the southern Oxnard basin and the Mugu aquifer directly overlying the Fox Canyon aquifer. The Hueneme aquifer generally consists of interbedded terrestrial fluvial sediments, and marine clays and sands. Thickness of the Hueneme aquifer varies greatly on the Oxnard Plain, and is greater than 1,000 feet thick in some locations. The Hueneme aquifer generally contains more interbeds of silt and clay than the underlying Fox Canyon aquifer.

2.2.3.2 FOX CANYON AQUIFER

The Fox Canyon aquifer underlies the Las Posas Valley, Pleasant Valley, and Oxnard basins. The Fox Canyon aquifer materials generally consist of shallow marine regressive sands and some clays. The Fox Canyon aquifer is the lower unit in the San Pedro Formation, and reaches thicknesses as great as 500 feet. Fine-grained sands are common, and the main unit of the Fox Canyon aquifer has a fairly consistent signature on resistivity logs. Some researchers also delineate a distinct basal unit of the Fox Canyon aquifer based on e-log signatures and lithology.

2.2.3.3 GRIMES CANYON AQUIFER

The deepest fresh water-bearing unit commonly mapped in the greater Oxnard Plain area is Grimes Canyon aquifer, which consists of permeable units of limited areal extent within the Lower Pleistocene Santa Barbara Formation (DWR, 1954; Turner, 1975). The Grimes Canyon aquifer generally consists of shallow marine regressive sands. In the northern Oxnard Plain, the Santa Barbara Formation is dominated by fine-grained deposits, and the Grimes Canyon aquifer is not mapped in this area (Turner, 1975).

2.3 GROUNDWATER BASINS

The seven groundwater basins that wholly or partially underlie United's district boundaries are the Piru, Fillmore, Santa Paula, Mound, Oxnard (including the Forebay), Pleasant Valley and West Las Posas basins (Figure 1.1). These basins are all connected as part of the regional Santa Clara-Calleguas hydrologic system. The Piru, Fillmore, and Santa Paula basins are bounded by the Oak Ridge fault to the south and the San Cayetano fault system to the north. These upstream basins within the valley of the Santa Clara River and are not discussed further in this report. The Oak Ridge Page | 10

fault defines the boundary between the Oxnard Forebay in the Oxnard basin to the south and the Santa Paula and Mound basins to the north.

2.3.1 OXNARD FOREBAY

The Oxnard Forebay area is the main source of recharge to the Oxnard basin. Recharge to the Oxnard Forebay benefits other coastal basins (Mound, West Las Posas, Pleasant Valley) but a majority of the water recharged to the Forebay flows downgradient to the confined aquifers of the Oxnard basin. The shallow sediments of the basin are dominated by coarse alluvial deposits of the ancestral Santa Clara River. The absence of low permeability confining layers between surface recharge sources and the underlying aquifers in the Forebay allow rapid groundwater recharge in the Forebay. Recharge to the Forebay comes from percolation of Santa Clara River flows, artificial recharge from United's recharge basins, irrigation return flows, septic tanks, percolation of rainfall, and lesser amounts of underflow from the Santa Paula basin and mountain-front recharge from South Mountain. In the area of the Forebay between United's El Rio and Saticoy spreading grounds, the LAS has been uplifted and truncated along its contact with the UAS. In this area recharge from surface sources may enter both the UAS and the underlying LAS, but much of the water is believed to remain in the shallower aquifers of the UAS. The calibration of United's groundwater flow models has also identified that much of the groundwater flow from the Oxnard Forebay is in the UAS, and there is significant vertical flux of water from the UAS downward to the LAS across the Oxnard coastal plain, most notably where vertical gradients are strong and aquitards are thin or discontinuous.

In the southern portions of the Forebay the LAS becomes more hydraulically isolated from the UAS. A limited data set of carbon-14 and tritium age dates exist for samples from monitoring wells in the Oxnard Forebay. Samples collected near the Saticoy Spreading Grounds in the early 1990s dated UAS water as younger than 50 years, but the interpreted age of water from deep LAS monitoring wells near El Rio was greater than 13,000 years (Izbicki, 1996a).

2.3.2 OXNARD BASIN

The Oxnard Forebay is hydraulically connected with the aquifers of the Oxnard basin, which is overlain by an extensive confining clay layer. Thus, the primary recharge to the Oxnard basin is from lateral groundwater flow from the Forebay rather than deep percolation of water from surface sources. Natural and artificial recharge to the Forebay serves to raise groundwater elevations in this upgradient area of the groundwater flow system for the Oxnard Plain. Changes in groundwater elevation in the Forebay to the coastal and offshore portions of these continuous aquifer units. Higher water levels in the Forebay are beneficial, as they maintain offshore pressure gradients from the Forebay to coastal areas. While the physical movement of groundwater out of the Forebay is fairly slow, the pressure response in the confined aquifers of the Oxnard basin is rapid. When groundwater elevations are below sea level along the coastline, there can be significant recharge of the Oxnard basin by seawater flowing into the aquifers. In areas near Port Hueneme and Point Mugu where

submarine canyons extend nearly to the coastline, the fresh-water aquifers may be in direct contact with seawater a short distance offshore.

Vertical gradients also commonly exist between aquifer units on the Oxnard Plain, resulting in some degree of vertical water movement through low-permeability units that occur between most of the major aquifers. When LAS water levels are substantially lower than UAS water levels (creating a downward gradient), there is substantial leakage of UAS water into the LAS through the various aquitards that separate the aquifer units, and through wells that are screened across both aquifer systems. Likewise, a downward pressure gradient can exist between the Semi-perched aquifer and the Oxnard aquifer when heads in the Oxnard aquifer are lowered (either regionally by drought conditions or locally by pumping wells). The movement of poor-quality water from the Semi-perched aquifer to the Oxnard aquifer has been documented in some locations, with abandoned or improperly constructed wells being a notable pathway for this downward flow (Izbicki, 1992; Stamos et al, 1992; Predmore, 1993). Conversely, during rare periods of artesian conditions, upward vertical gradients may exist between the aquifers.

The highly permeable deposits of the UAS are relatively flat lying across approximately the upper 400 feet of the Oxnard Plain. In the northern Oxnard Plain heads are often similar in the Oxnard and Mugu aquifers, but heads in the Mugu aquifer are considerably lower than those in the Oxnard aquifer in the vicinity of Mugu Lagoon. This is the result of increased connectivity between the Mugu and Fox Canyon aquifer. In this area, where the Hueneme aquifer has been uplifted and eroded, there is downward movement of groundwater from the Mugu aquifer to the Fox Canyon aquifer.

Deposits comprising the LAS are generally finer-grained and have been deformed by folding and faulting in many areas. An uneven distribution of pumping, along with structural and stratigraphic changes within the LAS result in varied heads among the deep wells across the Oxnard Plain. Faulting and uplift associated with the Sycamore fault and stratigraphic changes in LAS stratigraphy is thought to limit direct contact of the LAS with seawater in the area offshore from Mugu Lagoon (Izbicki, 1996b; Hanson et al, 2003).

Groundwater age dating shows that groundwater ages are greater in the LAS than in the UAS. A number of long-screen production wells were sampled for Tritium activity in 2007 (Burton et al, 2011). Most of the UAS wells sampled were in the northern portion of the Oxnard basin, and water from these wells was characterized as modern. The wells selected for sampling on the southern Oxnard Plain were mostly LAS wells, and water from these wells was interpreted to be of pre-modern age. Groundwater age in a deep LAS monitoring well near the coast on the northwestern Oxnard Plain is estimated to be more than 23,000 years old (Izbicki, 1996a).

2.3.3 PLEASANT VALLEY BASIN

The Pleasant Valley basin is bounded to the south and east by the Santa Monica Mountains, to the north by the Camarillo Hills, and to the west by the Oxnard basin. The Bailey fault is a major structural

feature that trends NE near the base of the Santa Monica Mountains, and the Springville fault bounds the basin along the Camarillo Hills to the north.

The Pleasant Valley basin is differentiated from the Oxnard basin by a general lack of productive UAS aquifers (Turner, 1975). In Pleasant Valley basin much of the UAS is fine-grained and not extensively pumped for water supply (Turner, 1975; Hanson et al, 2003). UAS deposits in the Pleasant Valley basin are comprised of sediments sourcing from the Calleguas Creek watershed, a smaller and less mountainous drainage than that of the Santa Clara River which deposited UAS deposits on the Oxnard Plain. Some coarse-grained deposits do exist, but these beds tend to be thin or discontinuous.

The LAS in the Pleasant Valley basin is composed of the Hueneme, Fox Canyon, and Grimes Canyon aquifers to depths greater than 1,500 feet. The Hueneme aquifer is relatively thin in the Pleasant Valley basin and composed of alternating layers of sand and finer-grained deposits. The Fox Canyon and Grimes Canyon aquifers are composed of thick sequences of relatively uniform marine sand in the Pleasant Valley basin. The Fox Canyon aquifer is the major water-bearing unit in the Pleasant Valley basin.

In Pleasant Valley basin the LAS is surrounded and underlain by partly consolidated marine deposits and volcanic rocks. Marine deposits are present in the Camarillo Hills (Las Posas Sand) and along the western edge of the Santa Monica Mountains near the coast (Lower Topanga Formation shale). Volcanic rocks consisting of basalts, submarine volcanic flows, and debris flows are present in the Santa Monica Mountains along the southeastern edge of Pleasant Valley basin (Weber et al., 1976). These underlying marine deposits and volcanic rocks may both contain high-chloride water.

Under pre-development conditions in the Pleasant Valley basin, groundwater movement in the UAS and LAS was likely from recharge areas in the northeast toward the Oxnard basin to the southwest. The LAS in Pleasant Valley basin appears to be isolated from most sources of recharge, and the age of groundwater ranges from about 3,000 to more than 6,000 years before present (Izbicki, 1996a). Additional sampling from some LAS production wells classified water as pre-modern, with groundwater age estimated to range from 11,000 to 16,000 years (Burton et al, 2011). Groundwater age increases with depth, and water within deeper aquifers has longer contact times with aquifer material, allowing greater geochemical reaction with these materials than water in overlying aquifers.

Over the period of 1995-2012 certain wells in northern Pleasant Valley basin recovered more than 250 feet. The re-establishment of surface flow in Arroyo Las Posas south of the community of Somis that subsequently percolated near the northern margin of the basin is now recognized as a recharge area for the basin. The degree to which this large recharge mound served to recharge the LAS in the central portion of the basin is not well established, as the distribution of wells in the northern Pleasant Valley basin is poor. To better monitor groundwater conditions in this area, the City of Camarillo and FCGMA recently constructed several monitoring wells in the northern part of the basin to measure groundwater levels and sample for water quality. The City of Camarillo has constructed a large-scale desalter to treat and utilize the mounded groundwater which tends to be more mineralized than the older water native to the basin. The groundwater mound in the northern Pleasant Valley basin has Page | 13

subsided by about 100 feet in the recent dry years as flow in Arroyo Las Posas has diminished.

2.3.4 MOUND BASIN

The principal fresh water-bearing strata of the Mound basin are the upper units of the San Pedro Formation and overlying Pleistocene deposits that are interpreted to be correlative with the Mugu aquifer of the Oxnard basin. There is a thick upper confining layer of Pleistocene clay approximately 300 feet in thickness. The aquifers of the basin extend several miles offshore.

The sediments of the basin have been warped into a syncline that is oriented in an east-west direction that roughly follows Highway 126. Structural disruption along the Oak Ridge fault in the southern portion of the basin has resulted in considerable uplift and erosion of the San Pedro and younger sediments. This disruption is the cause of the topographic "mounds" near the intersection of Victoria Avenue and U.S. 101, for which the basin is named. The Montalvo anticline has traditionally been used to define the southern extent of the basin. These structural features generally offset only the deeper LAS units of the adjacent Oxnard basin. The deposits of the UAS overlie the faults and folds along the southern margins of the basin, but the character of the deposits changes as they extend to the north, becoming more thinly bedded and fine-grained (UWCD, 2012).

The limited number of wells in the Mound basin, especially in the northern half of the basin, complicates efforts to ascertain the primary sources of recharge to the basin. There likely is some component of recharge from precipitation falling on aquifer units that outcrop in the hills along the northern margin of the Mound basin, but no wells exist to provide evidence of this occurrence. There is general agreement that the basin benefits from recharge in the Oxnard Forebay and Oxnard basin to the south, especially during periods of high groundwater elevations on the Oxnard Plain (GTC, 1972; Fugro, 1996; UWCD 2012). The amount of recharge from the Santa Paula basin to the east has largely been uncertain, but high heads in some wells in the eastern Mound basin suggested some degree of connection and recharge. Mann (1959) suggested that there was little underflow from the Santa Paula basin to the Mound basin, although more recent studies suggested the volume of groundwater underflow may be significant (Fugro, 1996; UWCD, 2012). Recently, subsurface flow was estimated using the Regional Model from Santa Paula basin to adjacent Mound basin on average about 6,000 AF/yr over the hydrologic base period, CY 1985 through 2019 (UWCD, 2021).

Groundwater flow in the Mound basin is generally to the west and southwest with modest to weak gradients, especially in times of drought. The poor distribution and limited number of wells with water level records complicates efforts to contour groundwater elevations in the basin. During periods of drought and increased pumping, a pumping trough forms along the southern portion of the basin that significantly modifies groundwater gradients. While groundwater elevations in this pumping depression fall below sea level, seawater intrusion has not been recognized in the Mound basin.

The Regional Model was used to analyze the potential of seawater intrusion in the Mound basin to support Mound basin GSP development. Particle tracking was performed to estimate historical movement of seawater in the Mugu and Hueneme aquifers over the last approximate 100-year period

to represent groundwater flow conditions since predevelopment. Groundwater model particle tracking results suggest that seawater has moved an average of approximately 0.5 miles from the offshore aquifer outcrop (approximately 10 miles from the shoreline) toward the shoreline in the Hueneme aquifer during the past 100 years. The particle tracking results also suggest no migration occurred in the Mugu aquifer during the same time period. These modeling results indicate that seawater will not migrate from the aquifer outcrops offshore to the shoreline over the next 50-year SGMA planning and implementation period. However, The GSP did consider the risk that seawater could enter the aquifers though nearshore short-circuit pathways along faults or stratigraphic windows in the fine-grained stratigraphic units. Despite the very encouraging model results indicating minor risk for seawater intrusion, the GSP included sustainable management criteria and a monitoring network for seawater intrusion. Further, Mound Basin GSA plans to construct additional coastal monitoring wells in the southern portion of the basin to improve the monitoring network for seawater intrusion.

2.3.5 CONNECTIVITY AMONG GROUNDWATER BASINS

The concept of hydrologic interconnection between the subbasins within the boundaries of United Water Conservation District is embraced by major regulatory and research organizations (e.g., CA State Water Resources Control Board [and predecessors such as CA Division of Water Rights and Division of Water Resources], DWR, U.S. Geological Survey [USGS], FCGMA, Ventura County Watershed Protection District,) active in the area (UWCD, 2014). The following section identifies and summarizes some of the more significant historical studies.

Early water resource studies in the Santa Clara River valley and the Oxnard Plain dating to the 1920s recognized a large degree of connectivity among the groundwater basins in southern Ventura County (California Division of Water Rights, 1928). The recognition that a serious problem of overdraft and seawater intrusion already existed in coastal areas motivated the reorganization of the Santa Clara Water Conservation District (SCWCD) into the United Water Conservation District in 1950, and all assets of the SCWCD were transferred to the new agency. United had a much greater bonding capacity than its predecessor agency, as urban areas became part of United's tax base. United promptly put this new bonding capacity to use when voters approved the construction of Santa Felicia dam on Piru Creek, with the understanding that "the entire Coastal Plain is dependent upon the Santa Clara River system for its water supply." United also recognized that the groundwater basins within the district boundaries operated as components of a large hydrologic system, and that the waterbearing materials of the "alluvial formation not only underlies the entire Santa Clara Valley but also extends beneath the Coastal Plain from Saticov to Ventura and Point Mugu" (Hinds, 1953). United hired the esteemed groundwater geologist John F. Mann to further investigate the groundwater basins of the District. Dr. Mann's (1959) report estimated potential groundwater yields from the various basins, delineated specific aguifer units, and reported on water guality problems specific to some aquifers and locations. Mann's report also detailed the occurrence of groundwater underflow between the various groundwater basins within the District (earlier reports had commonly focused on rising water and gains in surface water flow around basin boundaries, and less on subsurface flow at these constrictions in the regional groundwater flow system).

Following an extended period of population growth and several dry years in the mid-1970s, the California Department of Water Resources published Bulletin 118-80, *Ground water basins in California*. This publication introduced the "Ventura Central Basin" and reasoned "the four valleys identified in Bulletin 118 (1975) as the Santa Clara River Valley, Pleasant Valley, Arroyo Santa Rosa Valley and Las Posas Valley are contiguous and hydrologically continuous" and stated that "ground water moves into the Santa Clara River Valley from the other three valleys, particularly into the Oxnard Plain." This change in naming convention sourced from the State's recognition that the local groundwater basins are more appropriately considered subbasins of a larger regional system. Bulletin 118-80 also identified the Ventura Central Basin as a basin "subject to critical conditions of overdraft" (defined as: "a basin is subject to critical conditions of overdraft when continuation of present water management practices would result in significant adverse overdraft-related environmental, social, or economic impacts").

In the late 1980s, with financial support from United, Calleguas MWD, and the Fox Canyon GMA, the U.S. Geological Survey initiated a major investigation of the regional alluvial-aquifer systems of the Santa Clara River and Calleguas Creek watersheds. The study of the hydrogeology of the Santa Clara-Calleguas Basin was completed as part of the Southern California Regional Aquifer-System Analysis Program. The regional groundwater system in southern Ventura County was selected as a representative southern California basin for study, with cultural practices and geohydrologic processes common to other basins or groups of basins. Publications from the RASA study noted that "the onshore part of the Santa Clara-Calleguas Basin is a bout 32 mi long and includes about 310 mi²" and that "the Santa Clara-Calleguas Basin is a regional ground-water basin that can be divided into 12 onshore subbasins" (Hanson et al, 2003).

Following the authorization of SGMA in 2015, DWR revisited their terminology for the Ventura Central basin. The concept that groundwater basins are connected to and influenced by neighboring basins is central to the SGMA legislation. With this concept now generally accepted, the severity of overdraft conditions in the various subbasins of the large surface water and groundwater flow system are now classified individually.

3 OVERDRAFT AND SALINE INTRUSION

In general terms, overdraft is considered to exist when groundwater extraction by wells exceeds the long-term recharge rates for a basin. This results in falling or depressed groundwater elevations which may cause additional negative impacts such as saline intrusion and land subsidence. Seawater intrusion is a threat in coastal areas where production aquifers may be in direct contact with seawater. A landward gradient can be created when pumping in excess of recharge causes water levels to fall below sea level, allowing seawater to flow laterally into the aquifers. The southern area of the Oxnard basin is particularly vulnerable to lateral seawater intrusion because the near-shore Mugu and Hueneme submarine canyons expose production aquifers to the sea. Saline intrusion may also occur in inland areas due to the upwelling of deep brines, the downward movement of shallow water of poor quality, or the compaction of marine clays when water levels remain depressed for extended periods. There is a long history of overdraft and saline intrusion in the Oxnard basin.

3.1 HISTORY OF OVERDRAFT

Historic Ventura County precipitation records indicate that the region has experienced several extended drought periods over the past century. The period 1923-1934 was relatively dry, experiencing only two years with rainfall totals greater than average. Although relatively few water level records exist for water wells on the Oxnard basin during that time, water levels in parts of the coastal plain were measured as much as five feet below sea level in the early 1930s (DWR, 1965). The period 1935-1944 was relatively wet, but the summer of 1945 marked the start of another extended dry period. By the early 1950s water levels were lowered to 30 feet below sea level in some portions of the Oxnard basin (DWR, 1965). Water levels recovered somewhat in the late 1950s, but depleted basin conditions persisted in the early 1960s before the onset of wetter conditions in the late 1960s. Broad areas of the Oxnard Plain recorded water levels below sea level again in the mid-1970s and late 1980s, before an extended wet period beginning in 1991 allowed substantial recovery of the aquifers of the UAS in particular, following construction of the Freeman Diversion. The aquifers of the UAS and LAS are now again substantially depleted following persistent drought conditions that began in 2012. Each of the drought periods mentioned above witnessed water levels below sea level near the coast, resulting in episodes of seawater intrusion from the near-shore Hueneme and Mugu submarine canyons, most notably in the aquifers of the UAS.

High chloride levels were first detected in groundwater in the Oxnard basin in the vicinity of the Hueneme and Mugu submarine canyons in the early 1930s (DWR, 1965) and became a serious concern in the 1950s. Early monitoring programs used only existing production wells and older abandoned wells as monitoring points; sampling of these wells indicated that there was a widespread area of elevated chloride concentrations in the coastal areas between Port Hueneme and Mugu Lagoon. In some cases this reliance on old production wells led to misinterpretations regarding the extent of saline intrusion in the aquifers of the UAS, as high chloride concentrations in some of the samples was caused by poor-quality water leaking from the Semi-perched aquifer (rather than

Page | 17

seawater, as presumed). Interpretations of the historical extent of saline intrusion have been previously characterized by the USGS (Figure 3.1.1), in the FCGMA's 2007 Update to their Groundwater Management Plan, and in United's previous saline intrusion update reports. The current network of nested monitoring wells in the southern Oxnard basin is somewhat limited but allows a more reliable determination of conditions in the various aquifers than was available prior to the 1990s.

3.2 PREVIOUS STUDIES

In October 1965 the California Department of Water Resources published Bulletin 63-1, *Sea-Water Intrusion, Oxnard Plain of Ventura County.* This report detailed the findings of the DWR's Oxnard Plain investigation that was initiated in 1959 and reported on aquifer conditions observed in 1962 and 1963. The investigation included descriptions of the various aquifer units present in the study area, mapped aquifer thickness in plan view and in cross-section, and detailed the installation of several new monitoring wells completed in specific aquifers. The report included the mapping of the potentiometric surface and chloride conditions in specific aquifers. The rate of advance of lateral seawater intrusion in the Oxnard aquifer near Port Hueneme was estimated at 1,000 feet per year. Also notable was the UAS pumping depression in the Hollywood Beach area where Channel Islands Harbor now exists.

Following the publication of DWR Bulletin 63-1, the State Legislature appropriated \$310,000 for the construction of an experimental extraction barrier located along Pleasant Valley Road northeast of Port Hueneme (DWR, 1970). The facility consisted of five 1,100 gallons per minute extraction wells spaced over one-half mile just west of Ventura Road, discharge piping, multiple observation wells and a cathodic protection system. United personnel operated the barrier between February and September 1967 and again in the winter and spring of 1968. Pumping from the extraction wells was sufficient to modify hydraulic gradients in the vicinity of the demonstration project, although transmissivity of the Oxnard aquifer was greater than expected compared to values obtained elsewhere in the Oxnard basin. The cathodic protection system failed after eight months of operation, leading to the obvious recommendation that noncorrosive materials be used in saline environments. The project did however demonstrate the feasibility of extraction barriers as a means to mitigate seawater intrusion.

Southern California generally experienced drought in the years 1970 through 1977, at a time when population and commerce was growing rapidly. United's replenishment efforts and delivery systems such as the Oxnard-Hueneme pipeline proved insufficient to match water demand, and saline intrusion continued on the Oxnard coastal plain. In March 1979 the State Water Resources Control Board (State Board) published a Staff Report titled *Oxnard Plain Groundwater Study* summarizing much of the earlier DWR work in the area. The report describes existing water projects and imports to the greater Oxnard Plain, and lists a number of potential future projects contemplated by various agencies. The State Board noted the lack of a coordinated effort to resolve the ongoing seawater intrusion problem and suggested the basin might require an adjudication of water rights. The preference for local control was recognized, and the Fox Canyon Groundwater Management Agency was formed by State Assembly Bill No. 2995 in 1982. The agency was charged with preserving the Page | 18

groundwater resources within its jurisdiction, with the pressing need to control extractions from the Oxnard and Mugu aquifers and mitigate saline intrusion on the Oxnard Plain.

In 1989, as previously noted, the USGS initiated their Regional Aquifer-System Analysis study and other cooperative studies with United within the Santa Clara-Calleguas groundwater basin. Calleguas MWD and the FCGMA also contributed funding for the RASA study. As part of those studies, a series of 14 nested well sites, with two or more wells installed at each site, were drilled and completed at specific depths in the Oxnard basin (Densmore, 1996). It was this research effort that determined that some areas previously thought to be intruded by seawater were not, and that high chloride readings from some older production wells were the result of perched water leaking down failed well casings and contaminating the deeper production aquifer (Izbicki, 1992; Izbicki et al, 1995; USGS, 1996). During periods of low water levels in the Oxnard aquifer there exists a downward hydraulic gradient from the Semi-perched aquifer to the Oxnard aquifer, and significant leakage is thought to occur.

The USGS also relied on detailed chemical analyses and interpretive methods to identify various processes that result in elevated chloride in the production aquifers of the UAS and the LAS. These methods allow differentiation between chloride from seawater, deep brines, and poor-quality water from the Semi-perched aquifer. Based on the interpretation of water samples from both the new network of monitoring wells and existing production wells, along with some of the geophysical methods detailed below, the USGS developed new interpretations of the extent of saline impacts in the various aquifers near the coast. Another significant product of the USGS work was the construction of a groundwater flow model that simulated heads in UAS and LAS wells throughout the Ventura County portion of the Santa Clara-Calleguas basin (Hanson et al, 2003). Various water resource scenarios were modeled by the USGS, and later by United, using both the original model and later updated versions.

United has routinely monitored the network of coastal monitoring wells since the completion of the RASA studies and has periodically published the results of this monitoring. United's *2003 Coastal Saline Intrusion Report* (2004) detailed chloride concentrations and groundwater elevations on the southern Oxnard Plain. In 2007 United reported on the *Mugu Seawater/Saline Water Intrusion Monitoring Program*, which was largely funded through an AB 303 grant administered by DWR. This project included the installation of a new nested monitoring well in the area north of Mugu Lagoon, aquifer characterization, water quality sampling and the characterization of saline waters observed in the network of USGS coastal monitoring wells, and some groundwater modeling. United published another saline intrusion update in 2016, with expanded reporting on water quality, including major and minor ions and trace elements, and the estimated inland extent of saline intrusion in the Oxnard basin in the UAS and LAS (UWCD, 2016).

United proposed additional studies for the Pleasant Valley basin in 2001 and was awarded grant funding by DWR. United contracted with the USGS to perform well flow logging and depth-dependent water quality sampling in a number of deep production wells in the basin. United issued a report on groundwater conditions in the basin, including results from the static and dynamic flow logging

performed by the USGS. Modeling results for various future water supply scenarios were included in the report to DWR (UWCD, 2003). The USGS later published three articles in technical journals (Izbicki et al, 2005a, Izbicki et al, 2005b, Newhouse et al, 2005) detailing their observations related to water quality and flow in the deep Pleasant Valley basin wells they sampled.

Geophysical methods have also been used to help delineate the extent of saline groundwater on the southern Oxnard basin. In 1990 the USGS conducted a large-scale direct current resistivity survey on the southern Oxnard Plain (Zohdy et al, 1993). A total of 94 "Schlumberger" soundings were collected, with resistivity profiles modeled to an effective depth of approximately 500 meters (1,640 feet). Results were calibrated to water quality samples from some of the recently installed coastal monitoring wells. Geophysical methods such as these allow the mapping of subsurface resistivities at various depths over broad areas, as drilling wells at so many locations would be cost prohibitive.

Also in the early 1990s the USGS conducted down-hole conductivity surveys in several of the new coastal monitoring wells. Results from these surveys indicated that saline intrusion was occurring in individual permeable sand and gravel beds, as opposed to intruding along the entire thickness of the various named aquifers. As intrusion continues, more individual beds are impacted, resulting in increasing chloride levels in long-screen wells. Information gathered in Zohdy et al's resistivity survey and the down-hole conductivity logging suggest the edges of the recognized lobes of saline water are relatively distinct.

In 2010, with support of a grant from DWR, United sponsored a high-resolution seismic reflection survey along Hueneme Road, J Street and the Oxnard Industrial Drain on the southern Oxnard Plain (UWCD, 2011). The principal objectives of the study were to delineate depths and structure within the major aquifer units of the UAS and LAS, and to better understand the extent and origin of a large low-permeability deposit near the western extent of the survey area.

Also in 2010 United completed a Time Domain Electromagnetic (TDEM) survey in the southern Oxnard basin, in large part updating the work performed by Zohdy et al (1993) twenty years prior. The TDEM survey covered an area of approximately 30 square miles and consisted of 125 individual soundings (UWCD, 2010). From the field measurements, resistivities associated with saline and brackish waters were estimated in four depth zones. A Protem 47 system was used to estimate resistivities in the upper and lower portions of the UAS (to depths of approximately 500 feet). The more powerful Protem 57 system allowed estimation of resistivities in the upper and lower portions of the LAS (to depths of about 1300 feet). Resistivity values less than 5 ohm-meters were characterized as saline, 5-20 ohm-meters as brackish, and 20-30 ohm-meters as slightly brackish to background. Maps displaying the findings of the TDEM survey are used as base maps in selected figures in the water quality chapter of this report. The 2010 report also reported water quality results from the network of coastal monitoring wells sampled regularly by United.

In summer 2011 United hired a contractor to conduct borehole surveys in many of the coastal monitoring wells. Conductivity and gamma logging was conducted, and the logs generally showed low resistivities (saline waters) in distinct horizons. More recently, United equipped some Oxnard aquifer piezometers with both pressure transducers and electrical conductivity (EC) loggers. Results Page | 20

of high-frequency monitoring indicate rapid increases in chloride concentration with falling head in some wells. In one well, following suspension of the EC logger near the top of the well screen, recorded EC values rose rapidly from the known calibration value of water being pumped to the surface for sampling. This suggests that even within a 20-foot screened interval, water quality can vary significantly between individual beds.

United's 2010 TDEM survey indicated broad areas of saline water in the vicinity of both Port Hueneme and Mugu Lagoon. Discontinuous areas of low resistivity were also observed at various depths and locations, supporting the concept that saline waters are expelled from fine-grained layers during compaction of sediments. These results are consistent with what was observed by Zohdy et al (1993). United's 2010 TDEM survey results also suggest extensive areas of saline waters near the coastline west of Mugu Lagoon, which differs from Zohdy et al's findings and is inconsistently supported by samples from monitoring wells. There are, however, few wells existing in this area, so the ability to confirm the water quality in certain deep zones by sampling is presently lacking.

As part of the development of the Oxnard basin GSP, United's Coastal Plain Model was used to simulate future groundwater conditions by evaluating the impact of different groundwater extraction rates on groundwater levels over a 50-year timeframe, from 2020 to 2069. For this effort, the Coastal Plain Model used particle tracks to show the movement of the inland extent of saline intrusion based on different pumping allowances and potential future project scenarios (FCGMA, 2019).

3.3 SOURCES OF SALINE WATER

Historic assessments of saline intrusion focused largely on chloride and total dissolved solids (TDS) or electrical conductivity as indicators of water quality degradation. The evaluation of major and minor-ion chemistry, trace element analysis and specific isotope chemistry from samples collected during and since the USGS RASA study has led to the conclusion that chloride degradation in the Oxnard and Pleasant Valley basins is related to four sources and processes (Izbicki, 1991; Izbicki 1992; Izbicki et al, 2005a).

- Lateral Seawater Intrusion the inland movement of seawater (under the influence of a landward hydraulic gradient).
- **Cross Contamination** the introduction of poor-quality water into fresh water aquifer zones via existing wellbores that were improperly constructed, improperly destroyed, or have been corroded by poor-quality water in the Semi-perched aquifer.
- **Compaction of Salt-Laden Marine Clays** the dewatering of marine clays, interbedded within the sand and gravel rich aquifers, yields high concentrations of chloride enriched water.
- Lateral Movement of Brines from Tertiary formations the lateral movement of saline water from older geologic formations that have been uplifted by faulting to positions adjacent to younger freshwater-bearing formations.

Lateral intrusion of seawater is most common near the Hueneme and Mugu submarine canyons where seawater enters confined production aquifers in response to landward hydraulic gradients. Near-shore submarine canyons can shorten the flow path of seawater into onshore coastal aquifers, enhancing the potential for seawater intrusion (Hanson et al., 2009).

Cross contamination through corroded or improperly constructed wells also may be a source of saline water detected in aquifers underlying the Oxnard coastal plain. Heads are commonly higher in the Semi-perched aquifer than in deeper confined aquifers. Saline or brackish groundwater has been documented in the Semi-perched aquifer, and may result from a combination of 1) seawater that recharged the aquifer through offshore outcrops or infiltrated into the aquifer through coastal wellands or during coastal flooding, 2) elevated concentrations of dissolved minerals resulting from the evaporative discharge of groundwater at land surface, or 3) the infiltration of irrigation return flows (Izbicki, 1996c). Large differences in head can also exist between production aquifers at a single location. When long-screen production wells are screened across several aquifers with differential heads, passive flow within these wells can be significant (Alvarado et al, 2009), allowing poor-quality groundwater from one aquifer to migrate to other (underlying or overlying) aquifers.

Clay beds are common both between and within the aquifers of the Oxnard basin, and saline connate waters may be expelled from these clays as they compact in response to prolonged periods of low pressure within the surrounding aquifer units. Low pressure in the aquifers is commonly caused by groundwater extraction by wells. Saline water (also referred to here as brine) can also originate from older geologic formations, which may be displaced by faulting to a position adjacent fresh water aquifers, or may move upwards from greater depths, along fault traces in response to low pressures in production aquifers (Izbicki et al, 2005a). Lateral movement is thought to occur across a buried fault face near Pt. Mugu where Tertiary rocks are in contact with the younger aquifers.

4 RECENT BASIN CONDITIONS

This section details recent groundwater elevation and water quality conditions in the Oxnard and Pleasant Valley basins. The last nine years include the driest five consecutive years on record (2012-2016), and also includes some of the driest years ever recorded. Both natural and artificial recharge totals have been far below normal, water levels have fallen, and saline intrusion continues in coastal areas. The distribution of pumping in the basins remains similar to that in prior years.

4.1 RECHARGE AND DISCHARGE

Below normal precipitation was widespread in California during 2020 and dry conditions prevailed throughout much of the state. After the historic drought years of 2012 through 2016, above average annual rainfall totals were recorded in Ventura County in 2017 and 2019, did not however result in significant streamflow or groundwater recharge and did not bring the region out of drought. The annual rainfall total in Oxnard for WY 2020 was 12.78 inches (Oxnard Airport gauging station #168), which was 1.3 inches below average for the period of record (1956-2020).

State Water Project imports, which constitute an important component of the water supply for the City of Oxnard and other coastal communities on the Oxnard Plain, have been less available than in preceding years. Conservation programs and mandates have been successful in reducing some water demand among both urban and agricultural water users in southern Ventura County, but the area's population and industry remain heavily reliant on local groundwater resources.

Drought conditions have resulted in diminished runoff from the watersheds of the Santa Clara River and Calleguas Creek. Both winter stormflow and summer baseflow in these water bodies provide recharge to the Oxnard Forebay area and the northern Pleasant Valley basin.

4.1.1 ARTIFICIAL RECHARGE

United's current water resource management strategies are largely reliant on runoff from the watershed of the Santa Clara River to be effective. Water is commonly released from Lake Piru in the late summer or early fall, providing direct recharge to the Piru, Fillmore and Santa Paula basins, with additional flow diverted at the Freeman Diversion for use in the groundwater basins of the Oxnard coastal plain.

Due to low lake levels in Lake Piru, United was not able to release water from 2013 to 2016. However, 2017 had above average rainfall and United was able to purchase 10,000 AF of State Water (Article 21) and conduct a combined release of 30,000 AF from Castaic Lake and Lake Piru. This release was executed as an emergency water release intent on recharging depleted aquifers and reducing nitrate concentrations in United's and other public supply wells near El Rio. Timing of the release and the water source were different from a typical conservation release. During the 2017 release, the majority of water percolated into the Piru basin, and less than 1,000 AF reached the Freeman Diversion. Low lake levels in 2018 again prevented a release of water from Lake Piru. The following Page | 23

year, 2019, had above average rainfall and United released close to 40,000 AF from Lake Piru. The water release included 15,000 AF of Article 21 water funded by a grant from FCGMA. The release was conducted in the summer to take advantage of wetted riverbed conditions and improve conveyance to the FCGMA service area, and over 17,000 AF was diverted at Freeman Diversion during the release. In 2020, United released over 40,000 AF of water from Lake Piru, including over 6,500 AF of State Water Project purchased from the City of Ventura and the Santa Clarita Valley Water Agency. Approximately 13,800 AF was diverted at Freeman Diversion during the release in 2020.

The Freeman Diversion is located in the Santa Paula basin, approximately one mile upstream of the northeast margin of the Oxnard basin. This permanent diversion structure is located nearly eleven miles upstream of the mouth of the Santa Clara River. Water diverted from the river is distributed either to recharge basins in the Oxnard Forebay area, or directly to growers in the Pleasant Valley basin and on the east-central Oxnard basin via pipeline. The direct delivery of surface water to growers in overdrafted portions of these basins allows them to irrigate with surface water "in lieu" of pumping groundwater.

Diversion totals at Freeman Diversion have been lower during the current drought period due to the lack of winter storm flows, new regulatory restrictions on diversions related to endangered species concerns, diminished base flows in the river, flow diversion by upstream diverters, and the lack of fall conservation releases from Lake Piru. Since construction of the Freeman Diversion was completed in 1991, the lowest annual diversions were recorded from water years (WY) 2013 to 2018, with diversions ranging from 2,807 AF (2016) to 10,360 AF (2018). Diversion totals for WY 2019 and 2020 were higher compared to recent years, at approximately 38,000 AF and 32, 300 AF respectively, however, remained well below the average. A water year is the 12-month period from October 1, for a given year through September 30, of the following year. United has a water right to divert up to 144,000 AF per calendar year, and diversions have averaged nearly 60,000 AF/yr for the period 1991-2020.

The majority of the water diverted in 2020 was routed to the El Rio spreading grounds, where high nitrate concentrations in many of the UAS wells of the O-H well field and other nearby public drinking water wells remain a concern. Approximately 22,000 AF of diverted surface water was recharged at El Rio, and some 8,900 AF was recharged at the Saticoy spreading grounds. No water was distributed to the Piru spreading grounds, the Noble basins, or the Rose basin in 2020.

4.1.2 WATER DELIVERIES

Elevated nitrate concentrations occur regularly in the O-H wells screened in the UAS at United's El Rio facility, especially during drought and when recharge activities are diminished. In order to maintain acceptable nitrate concentrations in the potable water delivered through the O-H system, United blends water from multiple wells and selectively shuts down wells that have excessive nitrate concentrations. In 2020, the O-H wells pumped 13,925 AF for delivery to municipal users in coastal areas of the Oxnard basin (Cities of Oxnard and Port Hueneme, and the Naval Base Ventura County).

In 2020 United delivered 2,975 AF of surface water to the Pleasant Valley County Water District and 4,134 AF of surface water was delivered to the Pumping Trough Pipeline.

4.1.3 GROUNDWATER EXTRACTION

Owners and operators of production wells within the boundaries of UWCD are required to report well use to the District. Reporting frequency is semi-annual, for the first and second half of the calendar year. During 2020, reported groundwater extractions totaled 68,542 AF from the Oxnard basin, including 21,743 AF from the Oxnard Forebay area, and 9,975 AF from the portion of the Pleasant Valley basin within United's district boundaries. Approximately 5,500 AF of pumping was reported to the FCGMA for 2020 from the northern and eastern portion of the Pleasant Valley basin that fall outside of United's district boundaries.

Reported 2020 groundwater production from the UAS and wells screened across both the UAS and LAS is shown on Figure 4.1.1. Production occurs from the UAS throughout the Forebay area, the northwestern Oxnard Plain and the eastern Oxnard Plain. Few UAS wells are active near the southern portion of the Oxnard basin, due to water quality problems. UAS pumping by the City of Oxnard is consolidated in two primary well fields, so few active wells are shown for the area within the boundaries of the City. UAS extraction from the Pleasant Valley basin is relatively minor, and primarily located near the far eastern boundaries of the District.

Reported 2020 groundwater production from the LAS is displayed on Figure 4.1.2. LAS pumping from the Forebay area is relatively minor, and concentrated in the area south of United's Saticoy spreading grounds. On the northwestern Oxnard Plain the majority of the groundwater extraction from the LAS is located north of the Santa Clara River. The City of Ventura's Golf Course wells are located there, which export water to the Mound basin. Pumping from the LAS is common in the eastern portion of the Oxnard basin and in the western Pleasant Valley basin.

The distribution of groundwater pumping by locality and aquifers has not changed significantly in the last few years. There has, however, been increased production from UAS wells within the service area of the PTP. The PTP has struggled at times to meet demand during the recent drought as surface water has not always been available, and some growers on the system have relied more heavily on their own wells for crop irrigation.

While the water districts and cities of southern Ventura County have invested in a number of physical projects to import water from the State Water Project, move pumping away from coastal areas vulnerable to saline intrusion, convey water to areas of need and increase the yield of the basins, measures to reduce pumping from the basins has been managed by the FCGMA. Following an allocation base period in the late 1980s, the FCGMA required a series of 5% pumping reductions, approximately every five years, to reduce pumping demands within their area of jurisdiction. Agricultural water users had the option of demonstrating efficient irrigation practices, thereby avoiding the specified pumping reductions mandated for the municipal pumpers. The original goal of a 25% pumping reduction from baseline allocation was achieved in 2012, but this reduction was largely

limited to municipal pumpers, as many agricultural users demonstrated irrigation efficiency. Despite the implementation of these various measures to reduce pumping from the coastal basins, chronic overdraft conditions have persisted in the aquifers of both the UAS and the LAS (FCGMA, 2019).

In April 2014, Emergency Ordinance E was adopted by the FCGMA Board. This ordinance was crafted in response to the severely depleted conditions in the coastal basins, following the lack of substantial rainfall since spring 2011. "Temporary Extraction Allocations" were applied within the FCGMA under this ordinance, resulting in additional pumping restrictions to area wells. Additionally, in February 2015 the County of Ventura passed a well ordinance prohibiting the construction of new wells in the overdrafted basins of Ventura County, including the basins within the jurisdiction of the (https://www.vcpublicworks.org/wp/waterresourcesdivision/groundwaterresources/). FCGMA Replacement wells can still be installed, as the ordinance was intended to limit the expansion of groundwater use rather than to limit existing use. With the passage of SGMA and the process of developing a GSP for the Oxnard and Pleasant Valley basins, a groundwater extraction allocation system was adopted by FCGMA in 2019. The new pumping allocation system took effect October 1, 2020 and replaces Emergency Ordinance E. Reported groundwater extraction for calendar years 2005-2014 was selected as the period for the new pumping allocations. Some reductions in pumping from this new baseline allocation will likely be necessary to bring the basins to sustainable conditions and address seawater intrusion.

4.1.4 GROUNDWATER DISCHARGE

Following rare, multiple-year periods of above-average precipitation, artesian conditions have been documented in the western Oxnard basin and in the area surrounding Port Hueneme. Under these conditions a seaward groundwater gradient is maintained, and there is likely groundwater discharge from the confined aquifers to the Pacific Ocean at Hueneme Canyon. Water level conditions in 2020, detailed in the following section of this report, show water levels in coastal areas being below sea level. Under groundwater conditions such as these, there is no groundwater discharge from the confined aquifers to the ocean.

Groundwater elevations in the Semi-perched aquifer, however, remain above sea level. Groundwater in this shallow aquifer system is routed to surface water channels and flows to the sea via an extensive network of subsurface "tile drains," lift pumps and ditches. Natural groundwater discharge from the Semi-perched aquifer also contributes water to unlined streams and channels, coastal wetlands and estuaries, and direct seepage at the beach/ocean interface.

4.2 GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS

The history and occurrence of saline intrusion in the coastal basins of southern Ventura County is closely associated with groundwater elevations in the basins. Under pre-development conditions there was natural groundwater flow from inland recharge areas well above sea level to the offshore extensions of the major aquifer units. There are historical accounts of groundwater discharge to the near-shore Hueneme and Mugu submarine canyons, and pervasive artesian conditions in the Page | 26

confined aquifers of the Oxnard basin (Freeman, 1968). Following the widespread development of irrigated agriculture and population growth on the Oxnard Plain, groundwater extraction has exceeded recharge to the basins in many years. When water levels in the onshore confined aquifers fall below sea level a landward gradient is established, allowing seawater to laterally intrude into the fresh water aquifers and migrate toward areas with the lowest hydraulic heads (areas of pumping). Landward gradients were first observed in the 1930s but were soon reversed by a wet climatic period. By 1945 groundwater elevations began to decline again, and by 1949 certain near-shore water levels were as much as 30 feet below sea level (DWR, 1970). Historical water level hydrographs from selected wells in the coastal basins of the District are illustrated in Figures 4.2.1 through 4.2.4, where depressed water levels associated with the drought periods of the early 1960s, mid 1970s, late 1980s and recent years are apparent. A Recovery of groundwater elevations in 2019 and 2020 was noticeable in many wells across the Oxnard and Pleasant Valley basins. Diversion totals were higher in 2019 and 2020 compared to recent years, resulting in increased surface water deliveries, reduced pumping and more managed aquifer recharge.

UAS water levels in the Forebay area fluctuate by as much as 120 feet, with groundwater elevations in the southern portion dropping below sea level during periods of drought and recovering during wet periods (Figure 4.2.1). High water levels in the Forebay provide both recharge and hydrostatic pressure to the confined aquifers of the Oxnard basin and neighboring basins.

As shown on Figure 4.2.2, the range of recorded groundwater elevations from UAS wells on the Oxnard Plain diminishes with distance from the Forebay. The records from wells 02N22W31A01S and 01N22W02A02S show extended periods when groundwater elevations were below sea level, corresponding with drought periods in the early 1960s, mid 1970s, late 1980s and in recent years. Well 01N22W20J08S, located near Port Hueneme and the Hueneme submarine canyon, has the least variability among the wells shown. This is not only related to the well's distance from the Forebay (where most basin recharge occurs) and from active supply wells, but also its proximity to Hueneme Canyon. When water levels in this well fall below sea level, the ocean serves as a nearby constant-head source of recharge.

Figure 4.2.3 shows available water level records for selected LAS wells in the Oxnard basin. Most of the water levels shown are below sea level, some by more than 100 feet. Superimposed on the longer record, an annual water level fluctuation of about 30 feet is apparent in some of the wells, caused by seasonal changes in both recharge and pumping stresses related to agricultural use on the Oxnard coastal plain.

Water level records from three Pleasant Valley wells are shown in Figure 4.2.4. Wells 01N21W03C01S and 01N21W15J04S in the central portion of the basin show water levels below sea level for the entire period of record dating to the mid-1960s. In the northern portion of the basin, well 02N20W19L05S shows water level recovery of approximately 250 feet between 1993 and 2011, before water levels declined by about 100 feet in recent years. The period of water level recovery in this well was related to increased recharge from surface flows in Arroyo Las Posas.
Water level records from the network of coastal monitoring wells installed as part of the USGS RASA study are included in Appendix A. Measurements from individual wells are plotted with others from the same well site. Significant vertical gradients are apparent at a number of the well locations, with lower groundwater elevations common to the deeper wells.

4.2.1 UAS GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS, SPRING AND FALL 2020

A continuous potentiometric surface extends from the (unconfined) Oxnard Forebay to the confined Oxnard and Pleasant Valley basins. Staff from United, the County of Ventura, cities and other agencies routinely measure water levels in more than 250 wells in the greater Oxnard Plain area. United compiles available records and queries measurements for individual wells in the spring and fall of the year, then draws potentiometric-surface (groundwater elevation) contours for the Oxnard coastal plain. Groundwater levels remain severely depressed, but recently have shown a small recovery from record lows in both the UAS and LAS, the result of increased groundwater recharge activities during 2019 and 2020 compared to the previous six years.

Groundwater elevation contours for the UAS in spring 2020 are shown for the Oxnard basin in Figure 4.2.5. These conditions are far from typical, with water levels in much of the Forebay area and virtually all in the rest of the Oxnard basin below sea level. In the northern portion of the Forebay, water levels were above sea level and gradients were steeper than usual (and groundwater flow direction is interpreted to be more southerly than usual). The -10 foot elevation contour is drawn within about one to two miles inland of the coast along the entire Oxnard basin coastal boundary, indicating landward gradients at all locations. The potentiometric surface in the interior portions of the basin is nearly flat. For comparison, Figure 4.2.6. shows UAS contours from spring 2012, with more typical groundwater flow from the Forebay to the distal portions of the Oxnard basin. Between spring 2012 and spring 2015 the zero-elevation contour moved about ten miles inland, from near Mugu Lagoon to the northern portion of the Forebay, remaining there in 2020. The lowest groundwater elevations were recorded in the middle of the basin, and not at the southern margin as is more typical.

By fall 2020, UAS groundwater elevations were somewhat lower than in the spring, with the -10 foot elevation contour drawn closer to the coast all along the margin of the basin (Figure 4.2.7). The hydraulic gradient in the interior of the basin was still nearly flat, and the lowest Oxnard aquifer water levels were recorded in the middle of the basin. Steep groundwater gradients exist between this location and the northern extent of the Forebay, where heads above 50 feet were recorded.

In many areas of the Forebay and Oxnard basin, groundwater elevations in the Mugu aquifer are similar to, or a few feet lower than those in the Oxnard aquifer. In the southern Oxnard basin, and most notably in the area surrounding Mugu Lagoon, water levels in the Mugu aquifer may be as much as 30 feet lower than in the Oxnard aquifer. This is related to low water levels in the underlying and connected LAS aquifers, which causes downward groundwater flow in this area and depressed heads in the Mugu aquifer.

Groundwater elevation hydrographs for coastal monitoring wells are included in Appendix A. For some wells south of Hueneme Road, for example well sites GP, Q2 and CM6, Mugu aquifer heads are nearly as deep as LAS heads. United contours Oxnard aquifer heads (to represent the UAS) by convention, despite the lower Mugu aquifer heads at some well sites. Water levels from wells screened in both the UAS and LAS are mapped with UAS wells for contouring. Likewise, if water levels are available for more than one LAS well at the same location, the intermediate or highest level is selected for contouring.

4.2.2 LAS GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS, SPRING AND FALL 2020

Figure 4.2.8 displays groundwater elevations from Lower Aquifer System wells in the Oxnard and Pleasant Valley basins from spring 2020. LAS water levels were below sea level for the entire Oxnard Plain, most of the Forebay area, and much of the Pleasant Valley basin. The highest water levels were recorded in the northern Oxnard Forebay and the northern Pleasant Valley basin, which are recognized areas of recharge. Although LAS water levels are lower than in preceding years, the overall pattern of the contours remains similar. A persistent broad pumping depression is centered on the Oxnard/Pleasant Valley basin boundary, where several wells recorded spring 2020 water levels at least 110 feet below sea level. This pumping depression extends to the coast near the Mugu submarine canyon, where the water level at the coast was measured at 45 feet below sea level.

Figure 4.2.9 displays contours of groundwater elevations recorded in LAS wells in fall 2020. Water levels in the Forebay fell about 10 feet since the spring, and the main pumping depression shifted eastward into the Pleasant Valley basin. A broad pumping trough with groundwater elevations deeper than 90 feet below sea level is centered beneath the Oxnard/Pleasant Valley basin boundary, extending from the Camarillo Hills to Calleguas Creek. The water level at the coast near Mugu Lagoon was measured at 67 feet below sea level. LAS piezometers surrounding Port Hueneme recorded water levels ranging from -14 to -28 feet below sea level in fall 2020.

Figures 4.2.8 and 4.2.9 show steep groundwater gradients in the northeast Oxnard Plain near the West Las Posas basin boundary. Along the northern portion of the west Las Posas Valley basin boundary, the production wells used for water level monitoring tend to be screened in the Hueneme aquifer. To the south in the area west of the Camarillo Hills, the Hueneme aquifer is more fine-grained and interbedded, and most LAS wells are completed in deeper beds of the Fox Canyon aquifer where heads are lower. There are steep LAS gradients in this area as the character of the Hueneme aquifer changes, but the apparent gradient displayed in the contouring is also influenced by the shift to deeper well completions to the south. The deep LAS monitoring wells at the El Rio spreading grounds record water levels similar to the Fox Canyon wells near the Camarillo hills, so contouring water levels from only the deeper LAS wells in the Forebay would extend the eastern Oxnard /Pleasant Valley pumping depression into the Forebay. A steep groundwater gradient also likely exists between the main pumping depression and the recharge area along Arroyo Las Posas in the northern part of the Pleasant Valley basin, but this area is not contoured due to sparse well control and the unknown influence of faulting in the northern basin. Vertical flow from the UAS to the LAS is thought to be significant in the areas of the LAS pumping depression where strong vertical gradients are present.

United's modeling of groundwater flow in the coastal basins shows the LAS aquifers of the Oxnard basin receive significant recharge from the Forebay, but much of the groundwater leaves the Forebay as flow in the UAS. Across the Oxnard and Pleasant Valley basins there is significant downward groundwater flow from the UAS to the LAS, especially in areas where large vertical gradients exist and aquitards between the aquifers are thin or discontinuous.

4.3 GROUNDWATER QUALITY

The principal water quality concern for the Oxnard basin since the late 1940s has been saline intrusion. Elevated chloride concentrations are a concern for both irrigation and potable uses. The maximum recommended chloride concentration in drinking water is 250 milligrams per liter (mg/l), and the upper advisory limit is 500 mg/l (California Department of Health Services, 2000). The production of food crops common to the Oxnard Plain is generally not feasible when chloride in irrigation water approaches the lower drinking water limit, and certain sensitive crops are impaired by chloride concentrations as low as 100 mg/l.

About 70 coastal monitoring wells on the Oxnard Plain have been sampled regularly by United staff since being installed by the USGS in the early 1990s. These monitoring wells have relatively short screen intervals (commonly 20 or 40 feet) positioned within the major units of the Upper Aquifer System, including the Oxnard and Mugu aquifers, and the Lower Aquifer System, including Hueneme, Fox Canyon and Grimes Canyon aquifers. These monitoring wells allow sampling of water from a discrete conductive zone in the target aquifer. Production wells, in contrast, commonly have long screen intervals that may span multiple aquifers, and samples from these wells represent a blend of waters from various depths. The following section deals primarily with water quality samples collected in fall 2020, with some discussion of trends in specific wells.

4.3.1 CHLORIDE CONCENTRATIONS IN THE OXNARD BASIN

This section provides a description of chloride concentrations within each mapped aquifer across the coastal areas of the Oxnard basin. Figures 4.3.1, 4.3.4, 4.3.6, 4.3.8, 4.3.10, 4.3.12, 4.3.14 present the maximum chloride concentration for 2020 samples from each monitoring well. On the figures, wells are labeled by their common name and the depth of the bottom of the well screen (e.g., CM5-220 is screened from 200 to 220 feet below land surface). Wells GP2, CM7, SWIFT and SW penetrate only the UAS, while all other well sites have wells completed in both the UAS and the LAS. Figures 4.3.4, 4.3.6, 4.3.8, 4.3.10 and 4.3.12 use results from United's 2010 geophysical survey as a base image. The density and distribution of available monitoring wells is fairly poor for the large area of the southern Oxnard Plain, but the TDEM findings of high salinity are substantiated in a number of wells. In other areas there is poor agreement between sampled chloride concentrations and areas of impact modeled by the TDEM geophysical methods (UWCD, 2010). Without additional monitoring well installations it is difficult to ascertain whether high salinity exists in beds not screened by the short screen intervals of the monitoring wells, or if the geophysical survey results are inaccurate. The maps include an interpreted line suggesting the current inland extent of saline intrusion based on measured 2020 concentrations from monitoring wells, United's 2010 geophysical survey, and other Page | 30

prior studies detailing the extent of the intrusion front. Saline impacts associated with the compaction of sediments or brine migration have a more random distribution, however, and are not necessarily represented by a frontal boundary.

United samples monitoring wells along the coastal portion of the Oxnard basin for general minerals, metals and select trace elements. Wells with stable chemistry that are not impacted by saline intrusion are sampled annually, while wells in impacted areas or areas of variable water quality are sampled as often as four times per year. General mineral analyses are performed for some wells only on alternating years, with an abbreviated list of analytes run on other years. Special sampling events for specific metals and trace elements are conducted infrequently.

Time series graphs for individual monitoring wells, displaying recorded chloride concentrations and EC over time, show water quality trends for wells grouped by aquifer (Figures 4.3.2, 4.3.3, 4.3.5, 4.3.7, 4.3.9, 4.3.11, 4.3.13). Many of the coastal wells were installed near the end of a significant drought period (1989-1991), when high chloride concentrations were recorded in a number of the coastal monitoring wells. The period 1993 through 2005 was among the wettest on record in Ventura County, and chloride concentrations in some coastal wells near Port Hueneme decreased during this period. Other wells, in the area surrounding Mugu Lagoon, have shown continuous increases in chloride concentration since they were installed.

There are relatively few monitoring wells in the coastal areas of the southern Oxnard Plain and the extent of saline impacts is not precisely known, but it is well understood that elimination of groundwater overdraft conditions will largely mitigate the worsening of chloride impacts on the southern Oxnard Plain. United's modelling of groundwater flow in the aquifers of the southern Oxnard Plain indicates that vertical flow is more prevalent than most researchers recognized, and this downward vertical flow results in saline intrusion in the LAS in areas that would otherwise be protected by geologic structure near Pt. Mugu.

4.3.1.1 SEMI-PERCHED AQUIFER

The shallow groundwater of the Semi-perched aquifer is rarely used for supply purposes, and relatively little water quality data exists for this aquifer. Water quality of the Semi-perched aquifer can vary dramatically with time and location, and range from fresh to saline. United's fall 2020 sampling event documented chloride concentrations in Semi-perched aquifer wells ranging from 77 mg/l to 14,200 mg/l (Figure 4.3.1). Near Port Hueneme, groundwater of this unit is consistently saline, with chloride concentration recorded at 14,200 mg/l in well A2-70. A rise in chloride concentrations has been observed in this well the last few years, increasing from around 10,000 mg/l in 2012 to 15,000 mg/l in 2020. Chloride concentrations are much lower east of Port Hueneme, with well SW recording 414 mg/l chloride, and fresh water observed in the SWIFT well (77 mg/l chloride). Farther inland between Port Hueneme and Point Mugu, chloride concentrations are variable in the SCE well, with higher chloride concentrations observed during dry periods (Figure 4.3.2). Elevated chloride of 2,100 mg/l was recorded in well SCE-38 in 2020.

4.3.1.2 UPPER AQUIFER SYSTEM, NORTHWEST OXNARD AND MOUND BASIN

Widespread degradation by chloride has not been documented in the UAS wells located north of Channel Islands Harbor. Well CM3-145 is located near the coast in the northwest portion of the Oxnard basin, and has consistently recorded chloride concentrations well under 100 mg/l (Figure 4.3.3). Farther north in the Mound basin, well MP-240 is located near the north jetty of Ventura Harbor, and installed by a cooperative agreement between United and the City of Ventura to monitor for seawater intrusion. Chloride levels from this coastal well have been stable since monitoring began, measuring 99 mg/l in 2020.

4.3.1.3 OXNARD AQUIFER

There are two distinct areas of known saline intrusion in the Oxnard aquifer, generally occurring near and southeast of Port Hueneme and in the area surrounding Mugu Lagoon (Figure 4.3.4). Near Port Hueneme, chloride concentrations have been increasing since 2013 in the area west of the harbor, although concentrations have declined somewhat since 2018, with 2,460 mg/l recorded in well A2-170 in fall 2020 (Figure 4.3.5). Concentrations in well A1-195, located to the east of the harbor, have recently increased nearly 20-fold, rising from 159 mg/l in 2015 to 3,080 mg/l in 2020. Chloride levels in this well in 2020 have returned to the elevated concentrations observed in the 1990s.

Southeast of Port Hueneme, an area of elevated chloride is observed and includes the locations of coastal wells CM4 and CM7, and the more inland wells SW and SWIFT. Near the coast, high chloride concentrations are found in well CM4-275 with 3,080 mg/l recorded and 29,500 mg/l in well CM7-110. Well CM7-110 has had over a ten-fold increase in chloride, rising from 2,470 mg/l in 2013 to 29,500 mg/l in 2020 (Figure 4.3.5). Since 2014, chloride samples from this well have been more concentrated than seawater (~19,000 mg/l) suggesting the presence of saline connate water. An increase in chloride concentrations have also been measured in well CM4-200, rising from 154 mg/l to 693 mg/l from 2016 to 2020. Coastal well clusters CM4 and CM7 both have two wells screened in the Oxnard aquifer. Each of these four wells have significantly different chloride concentration in 2020, and the lesser chloride is recorded in the shallower well at CM4 and the deeper well at CM7. To the southeast of well CM7, coastal well CM5 records relatively low and fairly stable chloride in the Oxnard aguifer. The more inland well SW-195 has recorded a long-term decline in chloride concentrations since a peak of approximately 3,000 mg/l in 1995. The maximum-recorded chloride concentration in well SW-195 in 2020 was 270 mg/l. The other more inland Oxnard aquifer well SWIFT-205 has had elevated chloride concentrations above 1,000 mg/l since sampling was initiated in 1990. A slight decline in chloride levels since 2018 has been observed, with chloride recorded at 1,800 mg/l in 2020. The USGS recognized brine impacts in the SWIFT well screened in the Oxnard aguifer following well installation and sampling as part of the RASA study (Izbicki, 1991, 1992, 1996c). It is unclear if the more recent elevated chloride concentrations in the well source from brine or are associated with lateral seawater intrusion.

Located at Laguna Point on the coast south of Mugu Lagoon and near the Mugu submarine canyon, well CM1A-220 has historically recorded chloride levels approaching that of seawater, measured at

16,400 mg/l in 2020. Northwest of that location, water quality in well CM6-200 remains moderately degraded, increasing to 3,760 mg/l chloride in 2020. At the DP and Q2 well sites, Oxnard aquifer chloride has increased in recent years, measuring at 1,300 and 481 mg/l, respectively, in 2020. The USGS recognized brine impacts in wells screened in the Oxnard aquifer at CM6 and DP sites following their installation and sampling as part of the RASA study (Izbicki, 1991, 1992, 1996c). Some 30 years later, lateral seawater intrusion remains as a dominant process in the Mugu area, and the degree to which brines contribute to measured chloride concentrations in uncertain.

Farther inland and north of Mugu Lagoon, the Oxnard aquifer samples from the GP and SCE well sites do not show evidence of chloride degradation.

4.3.1.4 MUGU AQUIFER

Chloride impacts are less widespread in the Mugu aquifer than in the Oxnard aquifer. Well CM2-280, located west of Port Hueneme and on the coast near the Hueneme submarine canyon, recorded an increase in chloride in recent years, rising from 69 mg/l in 2013 to 285 mg/l in 2020 (Figure 4.3.7). Well A2-320, located north of well CM2, has also shown a recent increase in chloride concentrations, from 47 mg/l in 2017 to 153 mg/l in 2020. Well A1-320, also located near Port Hueneme, did not show chloride degradation and has recorded chloride concentrations common to unimpaired areas of the Mugu aquifer.

The Mugu aquifer wells located north and northwest of Mugu Lagoon record high chloride values that have increased fairly consistently since the wells were installed. In 2020 chloride concentrations in wells CM6-300, Q2-285 and Q2-370 ranged from 2,890 to 4,390 mg/l (Figure 4.3.6). The USGS recognized brine impacts in wells screened in the Mugu aquifer at CM6 and Q2 sites following well installation and sampling as part of the RASA study (Izbicki, 1991, 1992, 1996c). It is unclear if the more recent elevated chloride concentrations are more closely associated with brines or lateral seawater intrusion. The vertical flow of saline water from the Oxnard aquifer down to the Mugu aquifer is thought to be significant in this area, and United's newly-developed MODFLOW-USG solute transport model will be used to better characterize the lateral and vertical flow of groundwater in this area.

The remaining piezometers completed in the Mugu aquifer and located in both the coastal and more inland areas between Port Hueneme and Point Mugu consistently record low chloride concentrations ranging from about 30 to 40 mg/l. However, well SW-295 has recorded increasing concentrations in recent years, rising from 37 mg/l in 2016 to 90 mg/l in 2020.

4.3.1.5 LOWER AQUIFER SYSTEM, NORTHWEST OXNARD AND MOUND BASIN

Chloride data from LAS wells located in the northwestern Oxnard basin (well CM3) and the Mound basin (well MP) are plotted on (Figure 4.3.3). Sampling of these nested monitoring wells does not indicate saline intrusion in the LAS. Artesian conditions have existed intermittently in wells CM3 and MP since 1995.

4.3.1.6 HUENEME AQUIFER

Relatively few coastal monitoring wells are completed in the Hueneme aquifer, and all of those are located in the area surrounding Port Hueneme. Wells A1-680 and CM4-760, located east of the port, do not indicate any recent or historic chloride impacts (Figure 4.3.9). Three of the four Hueneme aquifer wells located west of the port, however, have recorded elevated chloride concentrations (Figure 4.3.8). The highest chloride concentrations are recorded in well CM2-760, generally measured at greater than 10,000 mg/l from 2003 to 2018. Since 2018, a slight decline in chloride concentrations have been observed, with 8,700 mg/l reported in 2020. Chloride concentrations in well CM2-520 have also declined since 2018, and recorded at 2,070 mg/l in 2020. Chloride concentrations in this well reached 2,800 mg/l in 1993, but this peak concentration was followed by a long period of decreasing chloride through 2014. Chloride levels began to increase in 2015, peaking at 3,000 mg/l in 2018. The A2 well cluster is located north of the CM2 site, and chloride impacts have not been observed in well A2-560. Chloride concentrations have however increased in well A2-740 since 2004, reaching a high of 315 mg/l in 2020.

No Hueneme aquifer wells exist in the area surrounding Mugu Lagoon, as the sediments that make up the Hueneme aquifer are interpreted to have been uplifted and eroded away in this vicinity. Figure 4.3.8. shows the area where the Hueneme aquifer is interpreted to be absent in the southern Oxnard basin. In this area the Mugu aquifer directly overlies the Fox Canyon aquifer.

4.3.1.7 FOX CANYON AQUIFER

The sampling of existing monitoring wells screened in the Fox Canyon aquifer near Port Hueneme and nearby coastal areas to the east has not detected evidence of saline water intrusion (Figure 4.3.10 and 4.3.11).

The Fox Canyon aquifer wells surrounding Mugu Lagoon, however, document continued water quality degradation. Well Q2-640 is located north of Mugu Lagoon, and samples show steady degradation since the well was constructed; in 2020 sampling reported 6,120 mg/l chloride. Northwest of Mugu Lagoon, chloride concentrations in well CM6-400 have had an increasing trend since 1999, reaching 1,700 mg/l in 2020. Well CM6-550 has shown a decreasing trend in chloride concentrations since a significant peak over 6,000 mg/l in 2004, most recently measuring 114 mg/l chloride. The Fox Canyon aquifer wells of the DP cluster, located north of CM6 well cluster, have differing trends. DP-580 recorded an increasing chloride trend, rising from 460 to 1,780 mg/l from 1990 to 2017. A slight decline in chloride levels since 2018 has been observed, with chloride recorded at 1,410 mg/l in 2020. Well DP-450 has had a more stable chloride trend (average concentration of approximately 1,000 mg/l) since 2007. The USGS recognized brine impacts in the deep wells near the Mugu Lagoon following their installation and sampling as part of the RASA study (Izbicki, 1991, 1992, 1996c). It is unclear if the more recent elevated chloride concentrations are more closely associated with brines or seawater intrusion.

Further inland, a slightly elevated chloride concentration of 144 mg/l was recorded in well GP1-740 in 2020, which is consistent with the small increases in chloride concentrations measured since 2016. Well GP1-460 does not show chloride impacts, nor does well SCE-414 located farther to the north.

4.3.1.8 GRIMES CANYON AQUIFER

There are no Grimes Canyon aquifer monitoring wells at Port Hueneme, and wells CM4-1395 and CM5-1200, located near the coast to the southeast of the port do not show evidence of saline intrusion (Figure 4.3.12).

Grimes Canyon wells surrounding Mugu Lagoon do show significant chloride impacts (Figure 4.3.13). At the coast near the Mugu submarine canyon, well CM1A-565 has become steadily more saline since its installation in 1989, with 7,800 mg/l chloride recorded in 2020. North and northwest of Mugu Lagoon, deterioration of water quality is documented at the Q2 and DP well locations. Chloride concentrations of 15,500 and 7,800 mg/l were recently observed in wells Q2-840 and Q2-970, respectively. Northwest of that location, chloride was measured at 6,400 mg/l in well DP-720 in 2020. As stated above in Section 4.3.1.7, The USGS recognized brine impacts in the deep wells near the Mugu Lagoon following their installation and sampling as part of the RASA study (Izbicki, 1991, 1992, 1996c). It is unclear if the more recent elevated chloride concentrations are associated more closely with seawater intrusion and subsequent vertical flow down from the overlying aquifers...

4.3.2 CHLORIDE CONDITIONS IN THE PLEASANT VALLEY BASIN

While high chloride concentrations are generally found only in wells in the coastal area of the southern Oxnard Plain, elevated chloride levels are present in wells distributed throughout the Pleasant Valley basin, and most notably in the southeastern portion of the basin near the Bailey Fault. Figure 4.3.14 shows the maximum chloride concentration from available 2020 samples, with results delineated by aquifer system. Few 2020 samples from the Pleasant Valley basin had a chloride concentration less than 100 mg/l. Two of the Pleasant Valley UAS wells with high chloride also have high nitrate concentrations, suggesting impacts from shallow semi-perched groundwater. Most of the LAS wells had chloride concentrations over 100 mg/l; the two that did not are located near the western boundary of the basin. Over the past decade groundwater recharge from Arroyo Las Posas in the northern portion of the basin has been recognized as an additional source of salts in the basin (Bachman, 2012).

Pleasant Valley wells yielding high-chloride water may have been drilled too deep and directly penetrate formations having high-chloride water, or brines may have invaded deep freshwater aquifers from surrounding and underlying deposits as a result of pumping stresses (Izbicki et al., 2005b). These potential brine migration pathways are illustrated in Figure 4.3.15. As water levels within the Lower Aquifer System decline because of groundwater extraction by wells, especially during dry periods, a greater percentage of water produced from the well may be from deeper zones with poor water quality, including water yielded by underlying deposits. Chloride concentrations in water from deep wells in the Pleasant Valley basin tend to increase during dry

periods when groundwater pumping increases. Conversely, chloride concentrations generally decrease during wetter periods when more surface water supplies are available for irrigation water and groundwater extractions decrease. As in the Oxnard basin, poor quality near-surface waters such as irrigation return flows can potentially contribute high chloride concentrations to deep wells if wells are either improperly constructed or corroded. Samples collected from the discharge point of a long-screen production well are representative of the composite chemistry of water from all producing zones within the screened interval. While elevated chloride is observed in a number of wells in the Pleasant Valley basin, the collection of typical wellhead samples does not indicate which aguifer zones may be contributing high-chloride water. The USGS has developed flow-profiling and discretedepth sampling techniques to determine zones within the screened interval of a well that yield water to the well (Izbicki, 1999). Measurements of the velocity of water flow within the screened zones of a well determines what zones are producing water and the amount of water from each zone. The water quality samples collected from the various production zones allows the use of a mass-balance equation to determine the water quality associated with each zone vielding significant water to the well. In the Pleasant Valley basin, deeper formations tend to produce water with higher chloride concentrations. Because the deeper parts of the long-screen production wells commonly contribute high-chloride water representing only a small part of the total yield of the well, the chloride concentration of the overall discharge from the well is less than the concentrations in the deeper zones. Changes in chloride concentrations are controlled by site-specific geology, well construction or changes in well hydraulics through time (Izbicki et al, 2005b). Figure 4.3.16 shows how well yield can be relatively low from deeper portions of a well where chloride concentrations are elevated. In PVCWD Well #2, the most northerly PVCWD production well, composite chloride concentrations have ranged from 150 mg/l to 221 mg/l since 1984. During the 2002 USGS sampling event, a wide range of chloride concentrations (170 to 502 mg/l) were observed in samples collected from distinct depth intervals in PVCWD #2, with 190 mg/l chloride measured at the surface. The contribution of water to the well decreased with depth, and the deeper zones that produced chloride levels over 500 mg/l contributed less than one percent to the total flow. Prior sampling of the same well allowed the determination that the water level and amount of pumping influenced the contribution of water from the distinct LAS aquifers, affecting the composite water quality produced by the well. When water levels decline, yield increases from deeper zones, and poor-quality water from the Grimes Canyon aquifer can enter the well (Izbicki et al, 2005b).

Deep nested monitoring well PV1 was installed in the Pleasant Valley basin by the USGS in 1990 as part of the RASA study. The well is located adjacent United's Pleasant Valley reservoir just east of the Camarillo Airport. The individual piezometers are completed to depths of 190, 380, 436, 860 and 998 feet; the three shallower wells each have 20 feet of screen and the deeper wells each have 60 feet of screen. Graphs for each well (except for PV1-436, screened in an aquitard) showing chloride concentrations and EC versus time are shown on Figure 4.3.17. Time series graphs were also created to show chloride concentrations and groundwater elevation (Figure 4.3.18). Water levels in all of the piezometers show recovery from 1990 through 2011, but only the shallowest well recorded groundwater elevations above sea level over the period of record. This well, PV1-190, is screened in the Oxnard aquifer and has fairly stable chloride concentrations above 100 mg/l, reaching 131 mg/l in 2020. Well PV1-380, screened in the Mugu aquifer, has stable chloride concentrations below 100 Page | 36

mg/I. LAS wells PV1-860 and PV1-998 are screened in the main and basal unit of the Fox Canyon aquifer, respectively (although the USGS interprets PV1-998 to be in the deeper Grimes Canyon aquifer). Chloride concentrations in well PV1-860 decreased from 130 mg/l to 74 mg/l as groundwater elevations rose over the period 1996 - 2012. Since 2012, chloride concentrations have increased to 101 mg/l, coinciding with a period of declining water levels. In well PV-998, chloride concentrations decreased from 130 mg/l to 98 mg/l while water levels in the well rose from 1990 through winter 2012. Since 2012, chloride concentrations increased to 191 mg/l, coinciding with a period of to 191 mg/l, coinciding with a recorded water level below to 191 mg/l, coinciding with a rec

Wells belonging to Pleasant Valley County Water District (PVCWD) are well-distributed throughout the central and southern portion of the Pleasant Valley basin. The PVCWD wells were constructed in 1979 and 1980 with long screened intervals within the aquifers of the LAS. These wells have been sampled fairly consistently since they were constructed. Five of the PVCWD wells (wells #3, #7, #9, #10 and #11) are located in the Oxnard basin just west of the Pleasant Valley basin and record stable chloride concentrations around 100 mg/l.

In 2020 the PVCWD wells in the Pleasant Valley basin recorded chloride concentrations ranging from 173 mg/l to 211 mg/l. Time series graphs for these wells, displaying chloride and EC concentrations versus time, and well locations are shown on Figure 4.3.19. Time series graphs are also shown for chloride concentrations and groundwater elevation versus time (Figure 4.3.20).

Water quality records from three selected private wells are shown in Figure 4.3.17. Private well #3, is located west of PVCWD #6 and is screened in the Fox Canyon aquifer. Samples collected from this well show chloride concentrations ranging from 52 mg/l to 110 mg/l since 1996, with levels remaining above 70 mg/l since 2010. Available samples collected from Private well #1 and #2, located in the east-central portion of the basin, show poor water quality. Chloride concentrations in Private well #1 did however decrease to 195 mg/l in 2020, from a peak of 277 mg/l in 2009. Chloride in Private well #2 has also decreased since 2017, to concentrations below 400 mg/l.

PVCWD wells #1, #4, #5 and #8 are screened in both the LAS and the deeper portions of the UAS. In well PVCWD Well #1, the most eastwardly PVCWD well, chloride measured 211 mg/l in 2020. Chloride concentrations in this well increased from 1984 to 2011 (peaking at 300 mg/l) and have since decreased somewhat. Chloride concentrations in PVCWD Well #4 are elevated above 100 mg/l since 1985, with the exception of 2002 with 98 mg/l. Chloride concentrations in well PVCWD #5 have fluctuated over time, but have been elevated mostly above 100 mg/l since 2012, which may be related to depressed water levels. In well PVCWD #8, chloride concentrations increased steadily from 150 mg/l to 232 mg/l during 1997 to 2011, however, they have now decreased slightly to 195 mg/l. During the 2002 USGS study, samples collected from distinct depth intervals in PVCWD wells #4 and #8 revealed the distribution of chloride with depth, and documented poor water quality in the Grimes Canyon aquifer. The sampling also showed poor quality water being yielded from the UAS, contributing to the high chloride concentrations observed in these two wells (Izbicki et al, 2005b).

PVCWD Well #6 is screened in the Fox Canyon and Hueneme aquifers. Chloride concentrations in samples collected from well PVCWD #6 are commonly over 100 mg/l, with 173 mg/l recorded in 2020. Page | 37

During the 2002 USGS study, lower chloride concentrations were attributed in part to this well not being screened in the UAS or in the Grimes Canyon aquifer (Izbicki et al, 2005b).

5 DISCUSSION

This section provides discussion on overdraft conditions, locations where saline water is observed, and how saline water may migrate with the groundwater flow on the Oxnard coastal plain.

5.1 OVERDRAFT CONDITIONS

Historically, problems with saline intrusion in the Oxnard basin were constrained to the aquifers of the UAS, from which most groundwater production occurred. Over time, production increased from the aquifers of the LAS as drilling technology improved and some groundwater users valued the lower TDS concentrations common to some of the deeper aguifers of the basin. In fall 1975 UAS water levels in the entire southeastern quadrant of the Oxnard Plain were below sea level, with the sea level contour extending from Port Hueneme northeast as far as 5th Street and Rice Road (SWRCB, 1979). In the LAS the sea level contour extended from north of Port Hueneme to the Camarillo Hills, so groundwater elevations in the southeastern Oxnard basin and all of the Pleasant Valley basin were below sea level. In contrast to recent conditions, the deepest LAS pumping depression at that time was in the northeastern portion of the Pleasant Valley basin, at approximately 120 feet below sea level (SWRCB, 1979). These depleted basin conditions led the State Board to threaten the adjudication of water rights under Water Code Section 2100. Local pumpers expressed a preference for local control of the overdraft problem, and the Fox Canyon Groundwater Management Agency was authorized by Legislative act in 1982. The act became effective in January 1983, and the initial goals of the agency were to bring the aguifers of the UAS into balance by the year 2000, and the LAS by the year 2010 (FCGMA, 2007). Major investments were made for infrastructure to enhance recharge and convey water to areas with the greatest pumping depressions, and for the importation of water from the State Water Project. In addition, significant regulatory programs were enacted to reduce groundwater pumping. These investments and programs were largely successful in eliminating overdraft in the UAS under wet and average climatic conditions, while the LAS remains in a condition of chronic overdraft. Following the onset of drought conditions in 2012, many areas in the coastal basins are now at or near their record low water levels.

While the distribution of pumping depressions is different today than when the State Board was threatening adjudication in the late 1970s, UAS groundwater levels in recent years were generally as low as they were during periods of drought in the 1960s and 1970s (Figures 4.2.1 and 4.2.2). In the LAS, the greatest pumping depression now commonly straddles the boundary of the Pleasant Valley and Oxnard basins, east of the City of Oxnard and south of the City of Camarillo. Available LAS water level records indicate that groundwater levels in only the northernmost portion of the Pleasant Valley basin remained above sea level in fall 2020, while groundwater levels throughout the entire Oxnard basin were below sea level (Figure 4.2.9). The greatest water level depression was observed along the boundary of the Oxnard and Pleasant Valley basins, where groundwater elevations were more than 90 feet below sea level.

SGMA presents new mandates and timelines to achieve sustainability in California's heavily utilized groundwater basins. As required by SGMA, a GSP was prepared and submitted to DWR by January 2020 for the Oxnard and Pleasant Valley basins, a deadline for all basins designated as a high priority and subject to critical overdraft. The purpose of a GSP is to provide a roadmap for achieving sustainable operating conditions by the year 2040, as required in the SGMA legislation. As noted earlier in this report, groundwater levels in the basins underlying the Oxnard coastal plain are below sea level, exacerbating saline intrusion. The recent drought, on top of the long-term overdraft conditions common to the coastal basins, makes the path to sustainability more difficult. The GSPs for the basins of the FCGMA, contemplate both pumping reductions and various projects to augment water supplies in order to achieve sustainability.

5.2 SALINE INTRUSION

Saline intrusion occurs when water levels fall below sea level (and even before water levels fall below sea level, given the greater density of seawater compared to fresh water). For the aquifers of the UAS in the area near Port Hueneme, saline intrusion is primarily a result of lateral seawater migration, occurring primarily during times of below-average precipitation and recharge. Seawater plumes advance inland from the Hueneme Canyon when landward groundwater gradients exist. Figure 3.1.1 shows a USGS interpretation of the historical extent of UAS saline intrusion on the southern Oxnard Plain over time. Izbicki used the figure to show that historically 100 mg/l chloride was used by local agencies to define the inland extent of seawater and that it was assumed that all high-chloride water from wells behind the leading edge originated only from seawater. More recent studies have showed that there are other sources of high-chloride water and the inland extent of seawater intrusion is smaller than previously believed. During periods of high water levels in the UAS, the predominant gradient in the area inland of Port Hueneme is to the southeast. This groundwater flow direction serves to flatten the northern extent of the intruded area, and move the chloride plume down the coast towards Mugu Lagoon. As a result of these typical wet- and dry-period gradients not reversing, but rather shifting by roughly 90 degrees from northeast to southeast, saline waters are not readily flushed from the UAS in the Hueneme area during periods of high water levels in the basin. The chloride trends observed in a number of the Oxnard aguifer monitoring wells located east and southeast of Port Hueneme are supportive of this interpretation. Monitoring wells CM4-200, SW-195, CM7-190 and SWIFT-205 show chloride trends consistent with a plume moving past these wells, with chloride peaks significantly delayed from periods of drought when seawater initially entered the groundwater system (Figure 4.3.5). In contrast, well CM7-110 shows significant chloride spikes during periods of low water levels, suggesting the compaction of clays or the vertical movement of saline water is dominant at that particular location.

Closer to Hueneme Canyon, chloride concentrations recently began to increase again in wells A2-170, A1-195, A2-320 and CM2-280, indicating a new episode of seawater intrusion. UAS water levels were above sea level in this vicinity as recently as 2013, but since that time heads have fallen and the new seawater front is only now reaching some of the coastal monitoring wells. Increasing chloride trends are expected in these wells until such a time that a seaward hydraulic gradient is reestablished. Page | 40

Measured chloride concentrations are also increasing in some of the Hueneme aquifer monitoring wells located just west of the Port of Hueneme. Chloride in well CM2-520 increased from 150 mg/l to 3,000 mg/l between June 2014 and June 2018, before declining to 1,880 mg/l in 2020. This increase in chloride levels followed a long period of improving water quality dating back to 1993. Deeper in the LAS at this same location, chloride concentrations were greater than 10,000 mg/l for fifteen years in well CM2-760. Chloride levels have declined slightly since 2018 and was measured 8,000 mg/l in 2020. Some 2,200 feet to the north, chloride has been gradually increasing in well A2-740 since 2006. United's interpretation of groundwater flow direction in the LAS in this vicinity is generally easterly, so the A1 monitoring well cluster located northeast of the port and Hueneme Canyon may be poorly positioned to detect this saline intrusion in the LAS as it progresses inland.

In the Mugu area, water level measurements from UAS monitoring wells commonly record water levels below sea level. Well CM1A-220, installed at the coast west of Mugu Canyon in 1989, has never measured water levels above sea level and consistently records chloride concentrations near that of seawater. UAS water levels in the other monitoring wells in the Mugu area (CM6, Q2, DP and GP) rarely measure above sea level. This location on the Oxnard Plain is the most distant from the Forebay, where much of the water that recharges the UAS enters the groundwater flow system. This is also the area of the Oxnard Plain where water levels in the Mugu aguifer are observed to be significantly lower than in the Oxnard aquifer. In this southern portion of the Oxnard Plain the sediments of the LAS were uplifted and eroded prior to the deposition of the Mugu aquifer. The Hueneme aquifer is interpreted to be absent in the Mugu area, and in some locations the Mugu aquifer is thought to be in direct contact with the Fox Canyon aquifer (Turner, 1975, and SWRCB, 1979). Heads are commonly lower in the Fox Canyon aguifer than in the aguifers of the UAS in this vicinity, and these persistent downward vertical gradients and the connection between aquifers likely results in significant vertical flow from the UAS to the LAS. Such a flow regime would explain the deeper water levels in the Mugu aguifer (in an area without significant groundwater pumping). As shown in Appendix A, water levels in the Mugu aguifer monitoring wells at the CM6, DP and Q2 well sites are more similar to LAS water levels than to water levels in the overlying Oxnard aquifer.

Prolonged periods of depressed water levels promote the compaction of clay and silt aquitards (USGS, 1999). Silt and clay deposits are common on the southern Oxnard Plain, forming both the major aquitards between the major aquifers, and thin interbeds within the aquifer units (Densmore, 1996). Compaction of these fine-grained sediments can lead to land subsidence and an unrecoverable loss of groundwater storage, and also can be a source of poor-quality water (documentation of land subsidence on the Oxnard coastal plain, however, remains sparse). Marine clays and lagoonal deposits commonly have saline water in their pore space, and this water is expelled into surrounding aquifer material when these aquitards are compressed. This is a common source of chloride degradation in the greater Mugu area, as originally interpreted by the USGS and discussed in Section 4.3.2 of this report. Poor water quality is common to nearly all the UAS monitoring wells surrounding Mugu Lagoon, with the Mugu aquifer well DP-330 being the main exception. Strong increasing trends in chloride concentrations are notable in the Mugu aquifer at the CM6 and Q2 well sites. While a number of local studies indicate the compaction of fine-grained

sediments can degrade water quality in nearby wells, there appears to be little available data to quantify land subsidence associated with groundwater overdraft in the southern Oxnard basin.

Water quality degradation also continues in the Fox Canyon aquifer, as recorded in monitoring wells CM6-400 and Q2-640 (Figure 4.3.11). These wells have a consistent increasing chloride trend since the late 1990s, and despite their proximity to Mugu Canyon, have a geochemical signature that suggest brine origin. The Grimes Canyon aquifer monitoring wells in the Mugu area all have strongly increasing chloride trends, and brines appear to contribute at least some of this chloride. Strong downward gradients exist in this vicinity, complicating interpretations (in the deeper wells) as to whether brine origin is deeper or shallower than the various well screens.

Well CM1A-565 is located on the coast near the mouth of the Mugu Canyon. Despite this location, water level records from this well show significant seasonal variability, more common to LAS wells in the heavily-pumped areas of the Oxnard and Pleasant Valley basins. If the aquifer penetrated by this monitoring well was exposed to the ocean in the nearby Mugu submarine canyon, a more muted water level signature would be expected because the ocean would serve as a nearby constant-head (recharge) boundary. A geologic section in the USGS RASA report depicts a shallowing of Tertiary marine sediments in the Mugu area, and uplift along the offshore trace of the Sycamore Canyon Fault that helps isolate the LAS aguifers from contact with seawater (Hanson et al, 2003). While it is difficult to resolve the offshore geology in the Mugu area, uplift along the Sycamore Canyon Fault remains a plausible explanation for the water levels observed in well CM1A-565 and the brine signatures interpreted in other nearby monitoring wells. Seawater is thought to be entering the Fox Canyon aquifer in the Mugu area, but the seawater likely travels laterally through aquifers of the UAS before moving deeper into the aquifers of the LAS. Offshore faulting has likely slowed seawater intrusion in the Mugu area, but the area of chloride impact continues to expand as compaction of clays continues to expel brine, brine migrates along fault traces and from deeper formations, and seawater continues to move inland in response to low pressure in production aquifers that span the coastal basins. In December 2020 the water level in well CM1A-565 was measured at 70 feet below sea level.

5.3 MIGRATION AND MONITORING OF SALINE WATER

United continues to sample and monitor water levels in about 70 monitoring wells in coastal areas of the Oxnard basin. These wells are located at only 15 distinct locations, as many of the wells are colocated as nested wells in a single borehole. It would be desirable to have additional wells to better define the occurrence and movement of saline water within the various aquifers of the Oxnard basin. However, the construction of monitoring wells is expensive, and it can be difficult to secure permission to install wells in the highly-developed coastal areas of southern Ventura County. Both the USGS and United have used geophysical methods in an attempt to document zones of elevated salinity where well data are not available. Results from these investigations suggest the occurrence of areas of low resistance (generally correlated with high salinity) are indeed highly variable in the subsurface, as has been confirmed by both the sampling of nested monitoring wells and wireline (downhole) resistivity surveys in individual wells. The TDEM surveys on the southern Oxnard Plain have also identified areas of low resistivity at various depths in the subsurface where confirmation by sampling Page | 42 is not yet possible. As displayed in Figures 4.3.4, 4.3.6, 4.3.8, 4.3.10 and 4.3.12, recent water quality samples confirm poor quality in some of the mapped areas, but other areas have non-saline groundwater despite TDEM interpretation to the contrary. Figure 4.3.4 serves as a good example, as the large lobe of low resistivity surrounding the SCE well cluster is not consistent with the fresh water samples drawn from that well. A mile to the southwest, impaired water quality at the SWIFT well is correctly identified by the TDEM survey. In areas such as this, distant from the canyons, saline water in the Oxnard aquifer is likely related to the downward leakage of poor-quality water from the Semi-perched aquifer, or from the compaction of clay interbeds. The wire line surveys show that impacts are sometimes constrained to individual beds, and in some cases the bed screened by a monitoring well may not be the one most degraded by chloride. There is no doubt that areas of the southern Oxnard Plain are degraded by chloride at various depths, but uncertainty remains regarding the true extent of this degradation and where additional wells will next be impacted.

Significant vertical groundwater gradients are now documented to exist in many of the coastal and inland areas of the greater Oxnard Plain. United's recent work creating stratigraphic sections and correlating aquifers across the Oxnard and Pleasant Valley basins suggests a number of points of aquifer mergence where the aquitards that commonly exist between the aquifers are absent. These areas of direct connection between aquifers have been recognized by others (e.g., Turner, 1975, and SWRCB, 1979) but some investigators in Ventura County characterize more vertical isolation between named aquifer units than may actually exist. United's efforts to calibrate the Coastal Plain Model identified the need for significant groundwater flux from the UAS down to the LAS in order to calibrate heads in a number of the LAS wells on the Oxnard coastal plain. Groundwater flow between aquifers has long been recognized on the Oxnard coastal plain (DWR, 1971, Hanson et al, 2003). This has significant implications for the control of saline intrusion in the basin, as a large difference in heads between the UAS and LAS makes the control of saline plumes a problem in three dimensions, not just two as it is commonly conceptualized.

In the Pleasant Valley basin, questions also remain regarding the origin and distribution of poorquality groundwater. Available records suggest high chloride is derived primarily from deep in the groundwater basin, with the upwelling of brines from deeper sedimentary rocks occurring in response to chronic low pressure in the aquifers of the LAS. Poor quality water may also be present in the shallow groundwater system, and may move downward in some locations and impact deeper wells. Recharge to the northern portion of the basin increased for an extended period of wet climate conditions, but few wells remain in the northern portion of the basin to monitor water level and water guality changes associated with that period of significant recharge of streamflow in Arroyo Las Posas. To help with understanding the groundwater conditions better in this area, the City of Camarillo recently constructed three nested monitoring well sites and FCGMA constructed one nested monitoring well site in the northern portion of the Pleasant Valley basin in 2019 to monitor groundwater level and water quality. A gradual long-term water level recovery has been observed in a number of the PVCWD wells, but it is unclear if this recovery is more related to recharge in the northern part of the basin or increased surface water imports by PVCWD. That recovery ceased in late 2012 at the onset of the current drought, and the northern recharge mound near Arroyo Las Posas has since declined by more than 100 feet.

6 CONCLUSIONS

Some 65 years after some initial investment in major water supply and groundwater recharge projects to combat saline intrusion on the Oxnard Plain, saline intrusion persists in the coastal areas of the southern Oxnard basin and in the Pleasant Valley basin. Onshore groundwater gradients now exist in the western Oxnard basin, but fresh water in thought to be moving onshore in that area. In wet and normal years, existing groundwater recharge facilities and surface water delivery pipelines generally distribute enough water to maintain groundwater levels above sea level in the Upper Aguifer System. However, much of the existing water infrastructure is reliant on flow in the Santa Clara River to be effective. During periods of drought the recharge facilities and surface water distribution pipelines are largely idle for lack of surface water, or when available river flow is reserved for environmental purposes in the lower Santa Clara River, increased reliance on groundwater extraction reduces groundwater storage in the basins. With the recent drought conditions, water levels are below sea level in the UAS in all but the most northern portions of the coastal basins, and an active episode of seawater intrusion is currently degrading water guality in the coastal areas of the southern Oxnard basin. When water levels in the UAS are eventually restored, much of the seawater that entered the UAS aguifers via Hueneme Canyon will be swept down the coast to the southeast by the prevailing groundwater gradients. Recent samples from UAS wells near Hueneme Canyon show increasing chloride concentrations. The Oxnard aguifer monitoring well near Mugu Canyon consistently records chloride concentrations approaching that of seawater.

In recent decades there has been increased groundwater production from the aquifers of the LAS, and water levels are now as much as 100 feet below sea level in these deeper aquifer units. Chloride concentrations are rising steadily in many of the LAS monitoring wells surrounding Mugu Lagoon. The inland extent of saline intrusion near Hueneme Canyon appears to be more limited than in the area surrounding Mugu Lagoon, but the locations of the existing monitoring wells may be poorly positioned to document intrusion moving east from Port Hueneme. Chloride concentrations nearing 10,000 mg/l and 2,000 mg/l we recently measured in wells CM2-760 and CM2-520 near Hueneme Canyon. Vertical gradients between the UAS and the LAS are modest near Port Hueneme but significant in the Mugu area. Inland areas with significant groundwater extraction from the LAS do not record water levels above sea level, even in the wettest of years.

Depressed water levels in more inland areas also causes saline intrusion from the compaction of finegrained marine sediments. Chloride derived from either seawater or brine tends to move deeper in the basin over time, given the persistent downward vertical gradients that exist in the basin. The Pleasant Valley basin, however, appears to have chloride in some of the deepest production aquifers, and some of the deeper wells in the basin routinely produce water with moderately elevated chloride concentrations, but of sufficient quality for most irrigation purposes.

Overdraft conditions are responsible for all of the water quality problems detailed in this report. Lateral seawater intrusion would not be a problem on the Oxnard Plain if seaward groundwater gradients could be maintained near the coast. The compaction of sediments in coastal and more inland areas can be arrested by maintaining groundwater levels above historic lows. The upwelling Page | 44

and migration of brines in the LAS can be mitigated by higher water levels in those aquifers, as would the downward movement of water from the UAS and the Semi-perched aquifer. It is easy to recognize the fix for the various forms of saline intrusion on the greater Oxnard Plain, but this goal has been difficult to achieve. Saline intrusion continues it becomes increasingly difficult to restore water quality within the coastal basins, as degraded waters do not necessarily exit the groundwater system with the restoration of groundwater elevations higher than sea level. The vertical flow of degraded water between aquifers is increasingly recognized as a concern, as lateral seawater intrusion into the Oxnard aquifer continues and vertical gradients remain prevalent in the intruded areas.

The path to sustainability is more difficult given the recent extended drought conditions on top of the long-term overdraft conditions in the coastal basins. The GSP for the Oxnard basin contemplates both reductions in pumping and various projects to augment water supplies in order to achieve sustainability. A new pumping allocation system took effect October 1, 2020 to manage water use within the coastal basins of the Oxnard Plain. A list of potential new water supply/optimization projects was developed during a FCGMA stakeholder outreach process. Work to develop new water supply projects and optimized water use in the basins continues. United is currently using the Regional Model and a new daily time-step surface water distribution model to simulate the operation of proposed projects and study the impact to groundwater conditions, including seawater intrusion (UWCD, 2021d). The GSPs for the Oxnard and Pleasant Valley basin were approved by DWR in November 2021, and much additional work is now required to reach groundwater sustainability by controlling saline water intrusion, identified in the plans as the primary indicator of basin sustainability. United is currently working to develop an extraction barrier project in partnership with the U.S. Navy at the coast near Mugu Lagoon. The project is designed to prevent new intrusion in the Oxnard and Mugu aguifers, draw brackish water from historic intrusion back towards the coast, treat produced water to potable and irrigation standards, and deliver product water to users in the basin to offset groundwater extraction from the overdrafted aquifers.

7 REFERENCES

- Alvarado, J.A. Corcho, Barbecot, F., Purtschert, R., 2009, Ambient vertical flow in long screen wells: a case study in the Fontainebleau Sands Aquifer (France), Hydrogeology Journal (2009) 17: p. 425-431.
- Bachman, S., 2012, Northern Pleasant Valley Desalter Groundwater Analysis and Modeling, November.
- Burton, C.A., Montrella, J., Landon, M.K., Lelitz, K., 2011, Status and Understanding of Groundwater Quality in the Santa Clara River Valley, 2007: California GAMA Priority Basin Project, Scientific Investigation Report 2011-5052, 86 p.
- California Department of Health Services, 2000, California Safe Drinking Water Act and related laws, 365 p.
- California Division of Water Rights, 1928, Ventura County Investigation, 228 p.
- Densmore, J.N., 1996, Lithologic and ground-water data for monitoring wells in the Santa Clara-Calleguas ground-water basin, Ventura County, California, 1989-95: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 96-120, 179p.
- Drever, J.I., 1988, The Geochemistry of Natural Waters, second edition: Prentice Hall, 437 p.
- DWR (California Department of Water Resources), 1965, Seawater intrusion: Oxnard Plain of Ventura County: Bulletin No. 63-1, 59 p.
- DWR (California Department of Water Resources), 1970, Oxnard basin experimental extraction-type barrier: Bulletin No. 147-6, 157 p.
- DWR (California Department of Water Resources), 1971, Seawater intrusion: aquitards in the coastal ground water basin of Oxnard Plain, Ventura County: California Department of Water Resources Bulletin 63-4, 567 p.
- DWR (California Department of Water Resources), 2016, Table 1. Final Basin Boundary Modifications, available at https://water.ca.gov/-/media/DWR-Website/Web-Pages/Programs/Groundwater-Management/Basin-Boundary-Modifications/Files/Final_2016_Basin_Boundary_Modifications_ay_19.pdf
- DWR (California Department of Water Resources), 2019, Sustainable Groundwater Management Act 2018 Basin Prioritization Process and Results, January.
- FCGMA (Fox Canyon Groundwater Management Agency), et al, 2007, 2007 Update to the Fox Canyon Groundwater Management Agency Management Plan, 88 p.
- FCGMA (Fox Canyon Groundwater Management Agency), 2019, Groundwater Sustainability Plan for the Oxnard Subbasin, December.
- Freeman, V.M., 1968, People-land-water: Santa Clara Valley and Oxnard Plain, Ventura County, California, Lorrin L. Morrison, 1968, 227 p.
- Fugro West, Inc., 1996, Mound ground water basin, Ventura County, California: Calendar year 1995 annual report to City of San Buenaventura, 21 p.

- Geotechnical Consultants, Inc., 1972, Hydrogeologic Investigation of the Mound Ground Water Basin for the City of San Buenaventura, Calif. Draft hydrogeologic investigation.
- Hanson, R.T., Martin, P. and Koczot, K.M., 2003, Simulation of Groundwater-Water/Surface-Water Flow in the Santa Clara-Calleguas Ground-Water Basin, Ventura Country, California, U.S. Geological Survey, Water-Resources Investigation Report 02-4136, 157 p.
- Hanson, R.T., Izbicki, J.A., Reichard, E.G., Edwards, B.D., Land, M., Marin, P., 2009, Comparison of groundwater flow in Southern California coastal aquifers. Earth Science in the Urban Ocean: The Southern California Continental Borderland: Geological Society of America Special Paper 454, 2 9p.
- Hem, J.D., 1985, Study and Interpretation of the Chemical Characteristics of Natural Water, third edition. USGS Water-Supply Paper 2254, 263 p.
- Hinds, J., 1953, Investigations, Plans, and Estimates for a Supplemental Water Supply in the Santa Clara Valley and Vicinity, United Water Conservation District, 164 p.
- Izbicki, J.A., 1991, Chloride Sources in a California Coastal Aquifer. American Society of Civil Engineers Symposium on Grand Water in the Pacific Rim. Honolulu, Hawaii, July 22-26. p. 71-77.
- Izbicki, J.A., 1992, Sources of chloride in groundwater of the Oxnard Plain, California, in Prince K.R. and Johnson, A.I., eds., Regional aquifer systems of the United States-Aquifers of the Far West: American Water Resources Association Monograph Series, no. 16, p. 5-14.
- Izbicki, J.A., Martin, P., Densmore, J.N. and Clark, D.A., 1995, Water-quality data for the Santa Clara-Calleguas hydrologic unit, Ventura County, California, October 1989 through December 1993: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 95-315, 124 p.
- Izbicki, J.A., 1996a, Source, Movement, and Age of Groundwater Water in a Coastal California Aquifer. U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet 126-96. July.
- Izbicki, J.A., 1996b, Use of O18 and H2 to define sweater intrusion. North American Water Congress, Anaheim, California. June 23-28.
- Izbicki, J.A., 1996c, Seawater Intrusion in a Coastal California Aquifer. U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet 125-96. July.
- Izbicki, J.A., Christensen, A.H., Hanson, R.T., 1999, U.S. Geological Survey Combined Well-Bore Flow and Depth-Dependent Water Sampler. U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet 196-99. October.
- Izbicki, J.A., Borchers, J.W., Leighton, D.A., Kulongoski, J., Fields, L., Galloway, D.L. and Michel, R.L., 2003, Hydrogeology and Geochemistry of Aquifers Underlying the San Lorenzo and San Leandro Areas of the East Bay Plain, Alameda County, California: USGS Water-Resource Investigations Report 02-4259, 60 p.
- Izbicki, J.A., Christensen, A.H., Newhouse, M.W., Aiken, G.R., 2005a. Inorganic Isotopic and organic composition of high chloride water from wells in a coastal southern California aquifer. Applied Geochemistry 20 (2005) p. 1496-1517.
- Izbicki, J.A., Christensen, A.H., Newhouse, M.W., Aiken, G.R., 2005b. Temporal Changes in the Vertical Distribution of Flow and Chloride in Deep Wells. Ground Water Vol. 43 No. 4, p. 531-544.

- Land, M., Reichard, E.G., Crawford, S.M., Everett, R.R., Newhouse, M.W. and Williams, C.F., 2004, Ground-Water Quality of Coastal Aquifer Systems in the West Coast Basin, Los Angeles County, California, 1999-2002: USGS Scientific Investigations report 2004-5067, 80 p.
- Mann, J.F., Jr., 1959, A plan for ground water management, United Water Conservation District: Report to United Water Conservation District, 120 p.
- MBGSA (Mound Basin Groundwater Sustainability Agency), 2021, Mound Basin Groundwater Sustainability Plan, October.
- Mukae, M. and Turner, J.M., 1975, Ventura County Water Resources Management Study-Geologic Formations, Structures and History in the Santa Clara Calleguas Area, January.
- Newhouse, M.W, Izbicki J.A., and Smith, G.A, 2005, Comparison of velocity-log data collected using impeller and electromagnetic flowmeters, Ground Water Vol. 43 No. 3, p. 434-438.
- Piper, A.M., 1944, A graphical procedure in the geochemical interpretation of water analysis: American Geophysical Union Transactions, vol. 25, p. 914-923.
- Piper, A.M. and Garrett, A.A., 1953, Native and Contaminated Ground Waters in the Long Beach-Santa Ana Area, California: USGS Water-Supply Paper 1136, 320 p.
- Predmore, S.K., 1993, Use of a geographic information system to identify abandoned wells: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 93-147 (poster).
- Stamos, C.L., Predmore, S.K., and Zohdy, A.A.R., 1992, Use of D-C resistivity to map saline groundwater: American Society of Civil Engineers National Conference, Water Forum 1992, Baltimore, Maryland, August 2-6, 1992, Proceedings, p. 80-85.
- SWRCB (State Water Resources Control Board, Division of Water Rights), 1979, Oxnard Plain Groundwater Study Water Code Section 2100, 68 p.
- Stiff, H.A., Jr., 1951, The interpretation of chemical water analysis by means of patterns: Journal of Petroleum Technology, Vol. 3. No. 10, Section 1.
- Turner, J.M., 1975, Aquifer delineation in the Oxnard-Calleguas area, Ventura County, in Compilation of Technical Information Records for the Ventura County Cooperative Investigation: California Department of Water Resources, 28 p.
- USGS (United States Geological Survey), 1996, Seawater intrusion in a coastal California aquifer, Fact Sheet 125-96, 4 p.
- USGS (United States Geological Survey), 1999, Land subsidence in the United States, Circular 1182, 177 p.
- UWCD (United Water Conservation District), 2003, Inland Saline Intrusion Assessment Project report submitted to the California Department of Water Resources, June.
- UWCD (United Water Conservation District), 2007, Mugu Seawater/Saline Monitoring Program Report to California Department of Water Resources, April.
- UWCD (United Water Conservation District), 2010, Oxnard Plain Time Domain Electromagnetic Study for Saline Intrusion, Open-File Report 2010-003.

- UWCD (United Water Conservation District), 2011, High resolution seismic reflection survey of the Oxnard Plain basin, Open-File Report 2011-004.
- UWCD (United Water Conservation District), 2012, Hydrogeologic Assessment of the Mound Basin, United Water Conservation District Open-File Report 2012-001.
- UWCD (United Water Conservation District), 2014, Groundwater resource management fundamentals: groundwater basin connectivity, UWCD Open-File Report 2014-03, 12 p.
- UWCD (United Water Conservation District), 2016, Saline intrusion update, Oxnard Plain and Pleasant Valley basins, United Water Conservation District Open-File Report 2016-04
- UWCD (United Water Conservation District), 2018, Ventura Regional Groundwater Flow Model and Updated Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model: Oxnard Plain, Oxnard Forebay, Pleasant Valley, West Las Posas, and Mound Basins, United Water Conservation District Open-File Report 2018-02, July.
- UWCD (United Water Conservation District), 2021a, Ventura Regional Groundwater Flow Model Expansion and Updated Hydrogeologic Conceptual Model for the Piru, Fillmore and Santa Paula Groundwater Basins, United Water Conservation District Open-File Report 2021-01, June.
- UWCD (United Water Conservation District), 2021b, Ventura Regional Groundwater Flow Model 2016-2019 Update for the Piru, Fillmore, Santa Paula, Mound, Oxnard, Pleasant Valley, and West Las Posas Valley Groundwater Basins, United Water Conservation District Open-File Report 2021-02, September.
- UWCD (United Water Conservation District), 2021c, Technical Memorandum, Implementation of Groundwater and Surface Water Model Inputs for Simulations in Support of Groundwater Sustainability Plain Development by the Mound, Fillmore and Piru Groundwater Sustainability Agencies, June.
- UWCD (United Water Conservation District), 2021d, Technical Memorandum, Oxnard Coastal Plain Model: MODFLOW-USG, October.
- Weber, F.H., Kiessling, E.W., Sprotte, E.C., Johnson, J.A., Sherburne, R.W., and Cleveland, G.B., 1976, Seismic hazards study of Ventura County, California: California Department of Conservation, California Division of Mines and Geology Open-File Report 76-5, 396 p., pls. 3A and 3B.
- Zohdy, A.A.R., Martin, Peter, and Bisdorf, R.J., 1993, A study of seawater intrusion using directcurrent soundings in the southeastern part of the Oxnard Plain, California, USGS Open-File Report 93-524, 139 p.

FIGURES



Figure 1.1. Groundwater basins, District boundary, and major recharge and conveyance facilities.



Figure 1.4.1. Preliminary model results for chloride concentration contours simulated for the Oxnard aquifer.



Figure 1.4.2. Preliminary model results for chloride concentration contours simulated for the Mugu aquifer.



Figure 2.1.1. Geology of the Oxnard and Pleasant Valley basins and surrounding area.



Figure 2.1.2. Schematic of Upper and Lower Aquifer Systems with model layers.



Stratigraphy	
Aquitard	
Semi Perched Aquifer	
Oxnard Aquifer	
Mugu Aquifer	
Hueneme Aquifer	
Fox Canyon Aquifer - main (upper)	
Fox Canyon Aquifer - basal	
Santa Barbara and/or other Formation	
Grimes Canyon Aquifer	
Volcanics	

SE



SW

Stratigraphy	
Aquitard	
Semi Perched Aquifer	
Oxnard Aquifer	
Mugu Aquifer	
Hueneme Aquifer	
Fox Canyon Aquifer - main (upper)	
Fox Canyon Aquifer - basal	
Santa Barbara and/or other Formation	
Grimes Canyon Aquifer	
Volcanics	

NE



S

Stratigraphy	
Aquitard	1
Semi Perched Aquifer	1
Oxnard Aquifer	
Mugu Aquifer	
Hueneme Aquifer	
Fox Canyon Aquifer - main (upper)	
Fox Canyon Aquifer - basal	
Santa Barbara and/or other Formation	
Grimes Canyon Aquifer	
Volcanics	

Ν



Figure 2.1.6. Geology of the Oxnard Subbasin (source FCGMA, 2019).



Figure 2.1.7. Cross Section A-A' (source FCGMA, 2019).



Figure 2.1.8. Cross Section B-B' (source FCGMA, 2019).



Figure 3.1.1. Chloride concentrations in water from wells in the Upper Aquifer System in the Oxnard Plain, 1955-89 (Data from California Department of Water Resources and County of Ventura Public Works Agency; figure from Izbicki, 1996c).



Figure 4.1.1. Reported Upper Aquifer System groundwater extraction from coastal basins, 2020.


Figure 4.1.2. Reported Lower Aquifer System groundwater extraction from coastal basins, 2020.



Figure 4.2.1. Groundwater elevation time series, selected Forebay basin wells.



Figure 4.2.2. Groundwater elevation time series, selected Upper Aquifer System wells, Oxnard basin.



Figure 4.2.3. Groundwater elevation time series, selected Lower Aquifer System wells, Oxnard basin.



Figure 4.2.4. Groundwater elevation time series, selected Lower Aquifer System wells, Pleasant Valley basin.



Figure 4.2.5. Spring 2020 groundwater elevations, Upper Aquifer System wells.



Figure 4.2.6. Spring 2012 groundwater elevations, Upper Aquifer System wells.



Figure 4.2.7. Fall 2020 groundwaer elevations, Upper Aquifer System wells.



Figure 4.2.8. Spring 2020 groundwater elevations, Lower Aquifer System wells.



Figure 4.2.9. Fall 2020 groundwater elevations, Lower Aquifer System wells.



Figure 4.3.1. Semi-perched aquifer maximum-recorded chloride concentrations, coastal monitoring wells, 2020.



Figure 4.3.2. Chloride and Electrical Conductivity time series plots, Semi-perched aquifer monitoring wells.



Figure 4.3.3. Chloride and Electrical Conductivity time series plots, North West Oxnard Plain and Mound basin monitoring wells.





Figure 4.3.4. Oxnard aquifer maximum-recorded chloride concentrations, coastal monitoring wells, 2020.



Figure 4.3.5. Chloride and Electrical Conductivity time series plots, Oxnard aquifer monitoring wells.



Figure 4.3.6. Mugu aquifer maximum-recorded chloride concentrations, coastal monitoring wells, 2020.



Figure 4.3.7. Chloride and Electrical Conductivity time series plots, Mugu aquifer monitoring wells.



Figure 4.3.8. Hueneme aquifer maximum-recorded chloride concentrations, coastal monitoring wells, 2020.



Figure 4.3.9. Chloride and Electrical Conductivity time series plots, Hueneme aquifer monitoring wells.



Figure 4.3.10. Fox Canyon aquifer maximum-recorded chloride concentrations, coastal monitoring wells, 2020.



Figure 4.3.11. Chloride and Electrical Conductivity time series plots, Fox Canyon aquifer monitoring wells.



Figure 4.3.12. Grimes Canyon aquifer maximum-recorded chloride concentrations, coastal monitoring wells, 2020.



Figure 4.3.13. Chloride and Electrical Conductivity time series plots, Grimes Canyon aquifer monitoring wells.



Figure 4.3.14. Maximum-recorded chloride concentrations, coastal basin wells, 2020.



Figure 4.3.15. Possible sources of high-chloride water to wells, Pleasant Valley basin (from Izbicki et al., 2005b).



Figure 4.3.16. Flowmeter and depth-dependent chloride concentration data from well PVCWD #2; data 1980-2002 (from Izbicki et al., 2005b).



Figure 4.3.17. Chloride and Electrical Conductivity time series plots, PV1 well cluster and selected private wells, Pleasant Valley basin.



Figure 4.3.18. Chloride and groundwater elevation time series, PV1 well cluster.



Figure 4.3.19. Chloride and Electrical Conductivity time series plots, Pleasant Valley County Water District wells.



Figure 4.3.20. Chloride and groundwater elevation time series, Pleasant Valley County Water District wells.

APPENDIX A, GROUNDWATER ELEVATIONS







Appendix A. Groundwater elevation time series, coastal monitoring wells.







Appendix A. Groundwater elevation time series, coastal monitoring wells.







Appendix A. Groundwater elevation time series, coastal monitoring wells.







Appendix A. Groundwater elevation time series, coastal monitoring wells.







Appendix A. Groundwater elevation time series, coastal monitoring wells.



